

A geometric approach to alternating k -linear forms

Ilaria Cardinali, Luca Giuzzi and Antonio Pasini

1st February 2016

Abstract

Given an n -dimensional vector space V over a field \mathbb{K} , let $2 \leq k < n$. A natural one-to-one correspondence exists between the alternating k -linear forms of V and the linear functionals of $\bigwedge^k V$, an alternating k -linear form φ and a linear functional f being matched in this correspondence precisely when $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_k)$ for all $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$. Let $\varepsilon_k : \mathcal{G}_k(V) \rightarrow \text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$ be the Plücker embedding of the k -Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ of V . Then $\varepsilon_k^{-1}(\ker(f) \cap \varepsilon_k(\mathcal{G}_k(V)))$ is a hyperplane of the point-line geometry $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. It is well known that all hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ can be obtained in this way, namely every hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is the family of k -subspaces of V where a given alternating k -linear form identically vanishes. For a hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$, let $R^\uparrow(H)$ be the subset (in fact a subspace) of $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V)$ formed by the $(k-1)$ -subspaces $A \subset V$ such that H contains all k -subspaces that contain A . In other words, if φ is the (unique modulo a scalar) alternating k -linear form defining H , then the elements of $R^\uparrow(H)$ are the $(k-1)$ -subspaces $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} \rangle$ of V such that $\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, x) = 0$ for all $x \in V$. In principle, when $n-k$ is even it might happen that $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$. When $n-k$ is odd then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$, since every $(k-2)$ -subspace of V is contained in at least one member of $R^\uparrow(H)$, but it can happen that every $(k-2)$ -subspace of V is contained in precisely one member of $R^\uparrow(H)$. If this is the case, we say that $R^\uparrow(H)$ is *spread-like*. In this paper we obtain some results on $R^\uparrow(H)$ which answer some open questions from the literature and suggest the conjecture that, if $n-k$ is even and at least 4, then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ but for one exception with $\mathbb{K} \leq \mathbb{R}$ and $(n, k) = (7, 3)$, while if $n-k$ is odd and at least 5 then $R^\uparrow(H)$ is never spread-like.

Keywords: Grassmann Geometry; Hyperplane; Multilinear form; Alternating form.

MSC: 15A75; 14M15; 15A69.

1 Introduction

1.1 Embeddable Grassmannians and their hyperplanes

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over a field \mathbb{K} . For $1 \leq k < n$ denote by $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ the k -Grassmannian of V , namely the point-line geometry whose points are the k -dimensional vector subspaces of V and whose lines are the sets $\ell_{Y,Z} := \{X : Y \subset X \subset Z, \dim X = k\}$ where Y and Z are subspaces of V with $Y \subset Z$, $\dim Y = k-1$ and $\dim Z = k+1$. Incidence is containment. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_1(V) = \text{PG}(V)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n-1}(V) \cong \mathcal{G}_1(V^*)$, where V^* is the dual of V .

Using the Plücker embedding $\varepsilon_k : \mathcal{G}_k(V) \rightarrow \text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$, which maps every k -subspace $\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle$ of V onto the point $\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k \rangle$ of $\text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$, the point-set of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is mapped onto a projective variety $\mathbb{G}_k(V) \subset \text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$. It is well known that $\mathbb{G}_k(V)$ spans $\text{PG}(\bigwedge^k V)$.

According to the terminology commonly used for point-line geometries, a subspace of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is a set S of points of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ such that if a line ℓ of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ meets S in at least two distinct points then $\ell \subseteq S$. A hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is a proper subspace of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ which meets every line of

$\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ non-trivially. In view of a theorem of Shult [20], every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ arises as a hyperplane section from ε_k , namely $H = H_f$ where $H_f = \varepsilon_k^{-1}(\ker(f) \cap \mathbb{G}_k(V))$ for a non-null linear functional f on $\bigwedge^k V$. Equivalently, if $\alpha_f : V \times \dots \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is the alternating k -linear form associated to f , defined by the clause $\alpha_f(v_1, \dots, v_k) := f(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k)$, the hyperplane H is the set of k -subspaces of V where α_f identically vanishes. So, the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ bijectively correspond to proportionality classes of non-zero linear functionals of $\bigwedge^k V$ as well as proportionality classes of non-trivial k -linear alternating forms of V .

Clearly, when $k = 1$ or $k = n - 1$ the previous definition gives us back the hyperplanes (respectively, dual hyperplanes) of $\text{PG}(V)$, defined as usual. Suppose $k = 2$ and take H as a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$. Let $\mathcal{S}(H)$ be the point-line geometry defined as follows: its points are just the points of $\text{PG}(V)$ and the lines are the members of H , regarded as lines of $\text{PG}(V)$. The following proposition is crucial for all we are going to say in this paper. It has to do with polar spaces. We presume that the reader is fairly familiar with them; if not, we refer him/her to Buekenhout and Cohen [3, Chapters 7-10] or Shult [21, Chapter 7].

Proposition 1.1. *The geometry $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is a (possibly degenerate) polar space of symplectic type.*

Proof. This statement immediately follows from what we have said above on hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ and alternating k -linear forms. However, it can also be proved by the following straightforward argument, with no recourse to [20]. Actually, in this way we obtain anew the result of [20] for the special case $k = 2$.

Given a line $L \in H$ of $\mathcal{S}(H)$ and a point $p \notin L$, let $X = \langle L, p \rangle$; this is a plane of $\text{PG}(V)$. The set $\ell_{p,X}$ of all lines of X through p is a line of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$. As H is a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$, either $\ell_{p,X} \subset H$ or $\ell_{p,X}$ contains just one element $M \in H$. Thus, p is collinear in $\mathcal{S}(H)$ with either all the points of L or just one of them; hence $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is a polar space. Moreover, by definition, $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is embedded in $\text{PG}(V)$ in such a way that all points of $\text{PG}(V)$ are points of $\mathcal{S}(H)$. It follows that $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is associated to an alternating bilinear form (see [3, Chapter 9]). \square

Note 1. Although in this paper we are only interested in embeddable Grassmannians, it is worth mentioning that if $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ were non-embeddable, namely \mathbb{K} were a non-commutative division ring rather than a field, then the above argument would imply that every hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ is trivial in the sense of Section 3.1 of this paper, namely that it contains precisely those lines of $\text{PG}(V)$ that meet a given subspace of codimension 2 non-trivially. This fact can be used as the initial step of an inductive proof that all hyperplanes of a non-embeddable Grassmannian are trivial, thus proving anew the result of [14].

1.2 The problems studied in this paper

Still under the assumption $k = 2$ and taking H as above, let $R(H)$ be the radical of the polar space $\mathcal{S}(H)$. Namely, $R(H)$ is the subspace of $\text{PG}(V)$ formed by the points $p \in \text{PG}(V)$ such that all lines of $\text{PG}(V)$ through p belong to H . Clearly, nothing can be said on $R(H)$ in general, except that $R(H)$ has even codimension in $\text{PG}(V)$, since $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is of symplectic type. In particular, when n is even it can happen that $R(H) = \emptyset$ and, when n is odd, $R(H)$ might consist of a single point.

It is natural to ask what are the structures that deserve to be taken as the analogues of $R(H)$ when $k > 2$ and investigate what can be said about them in general. So, let $k \geq 2$ and let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. Given a subspace X of V of dimension $\dim(X) < k$, let $(X)G_k$ be the set of k -subspaces of V that contain X . For $1 \leq i < k$ let $R_i(H)$ be the set of i -subspaces X of V such that $(X)G_k \subseteq H$. As we shall prove later (Proposition 2.1), the set $R_i(H)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{G}_i(V)$. It is natural to regard this subspace as the *i-radical* of H . However, the programme of

investigating i -radicals for any $i < k$ is perhaps too broad to be feasible at this stage. Thus, we shall here consider only $R_{k-1}(H)$ and $R_1(H)$. We put

$$R^\uparrow(H) := R_{k-1}(H), \quad R_\downarrow(H) := R_1(H)$$

and we respectively call them the *upper* and *lower radical* of H . When $k = 2$ the lower and the upper radical coincide and are equal to the radical $R(H)$ of the polar space $\mathcal{S}(H)$. In this case there is nothing new to say. When $k \geq 3$ things become more interesting.

Suppose now $k \geq 3$. If we regard H as the set of k -subspaces of V where a given non-trivial alternating k -linear form α identically vanishes, then $R_\downarrow(H)$ is just (the subspace of $\text{PG}(V)$ corresponding to) the radical $\text{Rad}(\alpha)$ of α , that is

$$\text{Rad}(\alpha) := \{v \in V : \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, v) = 0, \forall x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in V\}.$$

Clearly, $R_\downarrow(H)$ is a proper subspace of $\text{PG}(V)$ (otherwise α would be trivial). In fact, we shall prove later (Proposition 2.2) that $R_\downarrow(H)$ has codimension at least k in $\text{PG}(V)$.

The upper radical $R^\uparrow(H)$ looks more intriguing than $R_\downarrow(H)$. It contains all $(k-1)$ -dimensional subspaces of V that meet $R_\downarrow(H)$ non-trivially, but, in general, it contains far more elements than just these. Actually, $R^\uparrow(H)$ can be quite large even when $R_\downarrow(H)$ is small or even empty. Henceforth we shall focus our attention on $R^\uparrow(H)$.

We firstly state a few more definitions which will be useful in view of our investigation of $R^\uparrow(H)$. For a $(k-2)$ -subspace X of V , the set $(X)G_k$ of k -subspaces of V containing X is a subspace of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. Let $(X)\mathcal{G}_k$ be the geometry induced by $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ on $(X)G_k$ and put $(X)H := (X)G_k \cap H$. Then $(X)\mathcal{G}_k \cong \mathcal{G}_2(V/X)$ and either $(X)H = (X)G_k$ or $(X)H$ is a hyperplane of $(X)\mathcal{G}_k$. In either case, by Proposition 1.1, the point-line geometry $\mathcal{S}_X(H) = ((X)G_{k-1}, (X)H)$ is a polar space of symplectic type (possibly a trivial one, when $(X)H = (X)G_k$). Let $R_X(H) := \text{Rad}(\mathcal{S}_X(H))$ be the radical of $\mathcal{S}_X(H)$. The following is straightforward.

Proposition 1.2. $R^\uparrow(H) \cap (X)G_{k-1} = R_X(H)$ for every $(k-2)$ -subspace X of V .

In other words,

$$R^\uparrow(H) = \bigcup_{X \in G_{k-2}(V)} R_X(H)$$

where $G_{k-2}(V)$ stands for the set of the $(k-2)$ -subspaces of V , namely the points of $\mathcal{G}_{k-2}(V)$. Recalling that the radical of a polar space of symplectic type always has even codimension in the underlying projective space, two cases must be distinguished.

- 1) $n-k$ is even. In this case $R_X(H)$ can be empty for some $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$. If this happens for all $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$, then $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$.
- 2) $n-k$ is odd. Then $R_X(H)$ contains at least one point (a $(k-1)$ -space) for every $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$. In this case $R_X(H)$ is far from being empty. However, it might happen that $R_X(H)$ is a singleton for every $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$. If this is the case then we say that $R^\uparrow(H)$ is *spread-like*. This terminology is motivated by the fact that for $k = 3$, the set $R^\uparrow(H)$ is spread-like if and only if it is actually a spread of $\text{PG}(V)$, once it is regarded as a set of lines of $\text{PG}(V)$.

We are now ready to state the problems which we shall study in this paper. They are essentially the same as those considered by Draisma and Shaw [10], [11].

Problem 1. Let $k \geq 3$ and H a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$.

(1.1) Let $n-k$ be even. Is it possible that $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$ for a suitable choice of H ?

(1.2) Let $n-k$ be odd. Can it happen that $R^\uparrow(H)$ is spread-like?

Problems (1.1) and (1.2) are somehow mutually related. Indeed, let $k < n - 1$ be such that $n - k$ is even and let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. Given a hyperplane W of V , let $G_k(W)$ be the set of k -subspaces of W . Then $H(W) := H \cap G_k(W)$ is a hyperplane of the k -Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_k(W)$ of W . (Note that $G_k(W) \not\subseteq H$, otherwise $W \subseteq R_\downarrow(H)$, contradicting the fact that $R_\downarrow(H)$ has codimension at least k in V). In Section 2.2 we will prove the following.

Proposition 1.3. *With n and k as above, we have $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if $R^\uparrow(H(W))$ is spread-like for every hyperplane W of V .*

We have stated problems (1.1) and (1.2) in the most general form, for any $k \geq 3$. However, it is clear from the definition of $R^\uparrow(H)$ that, if we have proved that $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for $k = 3$ and n odd for any hyperplane H whenever \mathbb{K} belongs to a certain class \mathbf{C} of fields, then the same holds for any choice of k and n with $k \geq 3$ and $n - k$ even, provided that $\mathbb{K} \in \mathbf{C}$. Similarly, if we know that for $\mathbb{K} \in \mathbf{C}$, $k = 3$ and n even, the set $R^\uparrow(H)$ is never a spread of $\text{PG}(V)$ then, as long as \mathbb{K} is chosen in \mathbf{C} , the radical $R^\uparrow(H)$ is never spread-like, for any $k \geq 3$ with $n - k$ odd. So, we can replace problems (1.1) and (1.2) with their following special cases.

Problem 2. *Let $k = 3$ and H a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.*

(2.1) *Let n be odd. Can it happen that $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$?*

(2.2) *Let n be even. Is it possible that $R^\uparrow(H)$ is a line-spread of $\text{PG}(V)$?*

In the next subsection we shall briefly survey the answers known from the literature to problems (2.1) and (2.2) and state a few new results of our own, to be proved later in this paper.

1.3 Answers

We keep the notation of the previous subsection. In particular $n = \dim(V)$ and \mathbb{K} is the underlying field of V . However, throughout this section we assume $k = 3$ (whence $n \geq 5$).

In some of the results to be stated in this subsection the field \mathbb{K} will be assumed to have cohomological dimension at most 1. We recall that, for a prime p , the *p -cohomological dimension* of a field \mathbb{K} is the p -cohomological dimension of the Galois group of the separable closure of \mathbb{K} . The *cohomological dimension* of \mathbb{K} is the supremum of its p -cohomological dimensions for p ranging in the set of all primes (Serre [19], Gille and Szamuely [12]). For instance, algebraically closed fields have cohomological dimension 0 and finite fields have cohomological dimension 1, while the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers has infinite cohomological dimension.

Henceforth we denote the class of fields of cohomological dimension 0 or 1 by the symbol $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$. In some of the following theorems we assume that $\mathbb{K} \in \mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ is perfect. We warn that non-perfect fields exist that belong to $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ (see Serre [19, II, §3.1], also the example mentioned at the end of the next paragraph).

We shall also consider quasi-algebraically closed fields. Recall that a field \mathbb{K} is said to be *quasi-algebraically closed* if every homogeneous equation with coefficients in \mathbb{K} , in t unknowns and of degree $d < t$ always admits non-trivial solutions in \mathbb{K}^t . For instance, all finite fields are quasi-algebraically closed (see [19, II, §3.3]). Quasi-algebraically closed fields form a proper subclass of $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ (see [19, II, 3.2]). Note also that non-perfect quasi-algebraically closed fields exist. For instance, a transcendental extension of degree 1 of an algebraically closed field is quasi-algebraically closed [19, II, §3.3], but in positive characteristic it is non-perfect.

We now turn to problems 2, (2.1) and (2.2). It is not difficult to prove that when $n = 5$, up to isomorphism, only two hyperplanes exist in $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ (see Section 3.3, Theorem 3.13). We have $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ in both of these cases. Turning to $n = 6$, Revoy [18] gives a complete classification of alternating 3-linear forms. We shall report on it in § 3.3.3. It turns out that a form giving rise to a hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ where $R^\uparrow(H)$ is a spread of $\text{PG}(V)$ exists precisely when \mathbb{K} is not quadratically closed (Section 3.3, Theorem 3.16). To sum up,

Theorem 1.4. *Let $n = 5$. Then, $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for any hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ and any choice of the underlying field \mathbb{K} of V .*

Let $n = 6$. Then, a hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ such that $R^\uparrow(H)$ is a spread exists if and only if \mathbb{K} is not quadratically closed.

Note 2. When $n = 6$ and \mathbb{K} is finite, examples where $R^\uparrow(H)$ is a spread are also constructed by Draisma and Shaw [11].

Assuming that \mathbb{K} is perfect and belongs to $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$, Cohen and Helminck [5] give a complete classification of alternating 3-linear forms for $n = 7$. Referring the reader to § 3.3.4 for details, we only mention here the following byproduct of that classification.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $n = 7$ and let \mathbb{K} be a perfect field in the class $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$. Then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.*

Note 3. The hypothesis $\mathbb{K} \in \mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ cannot in general be removed from Theorem 1.5. For instance, as Draisma and Shaw show in [10], [11], when $n = 7$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ (but any subfield of \mathbb{R} would do the job as well) the Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ admits a hyperplane H with $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$. This is related to the exceptional cross product $\times : V(7, \mathbb{R}) \times V(7, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow V(7, \mathbb{R})$ (see Brown and Gray [2] or Lounesto [17] for the definition and properties of this product). However, there are also fields not in the class $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ for which $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for any choice of the hyperplane H . Indeed, it can be seen as a direct consequence of the second claim of Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.3 that if \mathbb{K} is quadratically closed and $n = 7$, then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$.

In Section 4 of this paper, exploiting the classification of Cohen and Helminck [5] we shall prove the following:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $n = 8$ and take \mathbb{K} to be a perfect field in the class $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$. Assume moreover that*

- (*) *every homogeneous equation of degree 3 in 8 unknowns with coefficients in \mathbb{K} admits non-trivial solutions in \mathbb{K}^8 .*

Then, $R^\uparrow(H)$ is never a spread, for any hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.

Hypothesis (*) of Theorem 1.6 holds if either \mathbb{K} is quasi-algebraically closed or every polynomial $p(t) \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ of degree 3 admits at least one zero in \mathbb{K} . Therefore, if $n = 8$ and \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed then $R^\uparrow(H)$ is never a spread. This answers a question raised by Draisma and Shaw in [11, Remark 9].

The next statement (to be proved in Section 4) is all we can say at the moment about $R^\uparrow(H)$ when $n > 9$ is even.

Theorem 1.7. *Let \mathbb{K} be a finite field and suppose $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. Then $R^\uparrow(H)$ is never a spread, for any hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.*

By combining Theorem 1.6 with Proposition 1.3 we immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 1.8. *With \mathbb{K} as in Theorem 1.6, let $n = 9$. Then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.*

By Theorem 1.7 and Proposition 1.3 we obtain that, if \mathbb{K} is a finite field and $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. However this conclusion as well as the conclusion of Corollary 1.8 when \mathbb{K} is quasi-algebraically closed, are contained in the following theorem of Draisma and Shaw [10]:

Theorem 1.9. *Let n be odd and assume that \mathbb{K} is quasi algebraically closed. Then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.*

Note 4. In their proof of Theorem 1.9 Draisma and Shaw consider the image $\mathbb{R}^\uparrow(H) := \varepsilon_2(R^\uparrow(H))$ of $R^\uparrow(H)$ via the Plücker embedding ε_2 . The crucial step in their proof is to show that $\mathbb{R}^\uparrow(H)$ is an algebraic variety of degree $(n-1)/2 - 1$ but different proofs can be given in special cases. For instance, when \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed the conclusion $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ follows from a celebrated results on linear subspaces disjoint from projective varieties (see Subsection 2.3, Note 7).

Note 5. Relying on Gurevitch's classification of trivectors of an 8-dimensional complex vector space [13, §35], Djoković [8] has classified trivectors of an 8-dimensional real vector space. Following Djoković's classification, if $n = 8$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, then $R^\uparrow(H)$ cannot be a spread for any hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. Consequently, in view of Proposition 1.3, if $n = 9$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. However, this conclusion is contained in a stronger result of Draisma and Shaw [11, Theorem 2], where it is proved that, in contrast with the exceptional behavior of \mathbb{R} when $n = 7$ (see Note 3), if n is odd, $n \geq 9$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.

1.4 More definitions

We shall now state a few more definitions, to be used later in this paper. Still assuming $k = 3$, let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.

1.4.1 The geometry of poles

Recall that the rank $\text{rk}(X)$ of a (possibly empty) projective space X is the projective dimension of X augmented by 1. In particular, $\text{rk}(\emptyset) = 0$. Given a point p of $\text{PG}(V)$, let $r(p)$ be the rank of the radical $R_p(H)$ of the polar space $\mathcal{S}_p(H) = ((p)G_2, (p)H)$ (notation as in Section 1.2). We call $r(p)$ the *degree* of p (relative to H). If $r(p) = 0$ then we say that p is *smooth*, otherwise we call p a *pole* of H , also *H-pole* for short. Clearly, a point is a pole if and only if it belongs to a line $\ell \in R^\uparrow(H)$ (compare Proposition 1.2). So, $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if all points are smooth.

As the polar space $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ is symplectic, $r(p)$ is even if n is odd and it is odd if n is even. In particular, when n is even all the points are poles. In both cases, the poles of degree $r(p) = n - 1$ are just the points of $R_\downarrow(H)$.

Let $P(H)$ be the set of H -poles. Then $P(H)$ is the union of the lines of $\text{PG}(V)$ that belong to $R^\uparrow(H)$. We can form a subgeometry $\mathcal{P}(H) := (P(H), R^\uparrow(H))$ of $\text{PG}(V)$, by taking $P(H)$ as the set of points and $R^\uparrow(H)$ as the set of lines, a point $p \in P(H)$ and a line $\ell \in R^\uparrow(H)$ being incident in $\mathcal{P}(H)$ precisely when $p \in \ell$ in $\text{PG}(V)$. We call $\mathcal{P}(H)$ the *geometry of poles* of H . We shall often refer to it in Section 3.

Note 6. We have assumed $k = 3$ but all the above can be easily rephrased for any $k \geq 3$, modulo a few obvious changes: we should consider $(k - 2)$ -subspaces of V instead of points of $\text{PG}(V)$ when defining poles and take lines $\ell_{X,Y}$ of $\mathcal{G}_{k-2}(H)$ with $Y \in R^\uparrow(H)$ as lines of the geometry of poles. However, we shall not insist on this generalization here.

1.4.2 The depth of H and the rank of $R^\uparrow(H)$

We define the *depth* $\delta(H)$ of H as the maximum degree $r(p)$, for p ranging in the set of points of $\text{PG}(V)$. Clearly, $\delta(H) = 0$ if and only if $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$ and $\delta(H) = n - 1$ if and only if $R_\downarrow(H) \neq \emptyset$.

We have noted in Section 1.2 that $R^\dagger(H)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{G}_2(H)$. So, we can also regard it as an induced subgeometry of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$, the lines of $R^\dagger(H)$ being the lines of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ contained in it. In this way, we can also give $R^\dagger(H)$ a rank, as follows. Recall that a subspace of a point-line geometry is called *singular* if all of its points are mutually collinear (Shult [21]). A point-line geometry is said to be *paraprojective* if all of its singular subspaces are projective spaces (Shult [21, chapter 12]). The *rank* of a paraprojective geometry is the maximal rank of its singular subspaces.

Grassmannians are paraprojective and subspaces of paraprojective geometries are still paraprojective. Hence $R^\dagger(H)$ is paraprojective. Accordingly, it admits a rank, henceforth denoted by the symbol $\text{rk}(R^\dagger(H))$. For the sake of completeness, when $R^\dagger(H) = \emptyset$ we put $\text{rk}(R^\dagger(H)) = 0$. It is not difficult to see that $\delta(H) = \text{rk}(R^\dagger(H))$, except possibly in the case $\delta(H) = 1$ and $\text{rk}(R^\dagger(H)) = 2$.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 Notation

For the convenience of the reader, we recall some notation introduced in the previous section. Let X be a subspace of V of dimension $\dim(X) = i \neq k$. When $i > k$ (possibly $i = n$) we denote by $G_k(X)$ and $\mathcal{G}_k(X)$ the set of k -spaces contained in X and the k -Grassmannian of X , respectively. Given a hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$, we set $H(X) := G_k(X) \cap H$.

Suppose $i < k$. Then $(X)G_k$ is the set of k -spaces containing X and $(X)\mathcal{G}_k (\cong \mathcal{G}_{k-i}(V/X))$ is the subgeometry induced by $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ on $(X)G_k$. Also, $(X)H := H \cap (X)G_k$. When $i = k - 2$ (as defined in Section 1.2) the symbol $\mathcal{S}_X(H)$ stands for the polar space with $(X)G_{k-1}$ as the set of points and $(X)H$ as the set of lines. $R_X(H)$ is the radical of $\mathcal{S}_X(H)$.

We shall use square brackets in order to distinguish between a subspace of a vector space and the corresponding projective subspace in the projective geometry of that vector space. Thus, if X is a subspace of some vector space then $[X] := \{\langle x \rangle : x \in X \setminus \{0\}\}$. In particular, if v is a non-zero vector then $[v] = \langle v \rangle$ is the projective point represented by v . As usual, we write $[v_1, \dots, v_k]$ instead of $[\langle v_1, \dots, v_k \rangle]$, for short.

2.2 Properties of radicals

In Section 1.2 we have stated several results on radicals, referring the reader to the present section for the proofs of the less obvious among them. We shall now give those proofs.

Let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ and $i < k$. The i -radical $R_i(H)$ of H , as defined in Section 1.2, is the set of i -subspaces $X \subset V$ such that $(X)H = (X)G_k$. The following is one of the claims made in Section 1.2.

Proposition 2.1. *The set $R_i(H)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{G}_i(V)$.*

Proof. Let X_1 and X_2 be distinct elements of $R_i(H)$ belonging to the same line $\ell_{Y,Z}$ of $\mathcal{G}_i(H)$. For $X \in \ell_{Y,Z}$, let $U \in (X)G_k$. We need only to prove that $U \in H$. If $U \supseteq Z$ then $U \in (X_1)G_k \cap (X_2)G_k$. Hence $U \in H$, as $X_1, X_2 \in R_i(H)$.

Suppose that $U \not\supseteq Z$. Then $U \cap Z = X$. Let W be a complement of X in U . Then $W \cap Z = 0$. Hence $N := W + Y$ and $M := W + Z$ have dimension $k - 1$ and $k + 1$ respectively. As clearly $N \subset M$, we can consider the line $\ell_{N,M}$ of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. The spaces $U_1 := X_1 + W$ and $U_2 := X_2 + W$ are points of $\ell_{N,M}$. As $X_1, X_2 \in R_i(H)$, both U_1 and U_2 belong to H . We shall now prove that $U_1 \neq U_2$. By way of contradiction, let $U_1 = U_2 =: U'$, say. As both U' and Z contain X_1 and X_2 , we have $Z \subset U'$. However U' also contains W , by construction. As $\dim(U') = k$,

$\dim(W) = k - i$ and $\dim(Z) = i + 1$, we obtain that $Z \cap W \neq 0$, while we have previously proved that $Z \cap W = 0$. This contradiction forces $U_1 \neq U_2$. Thus $\ell_{N,M}$ contains two distinct members of H , namely U_1 and U_2 . Hence $\ell_{N,M} \subseteq H$, as H is a hyperplane. In particular, $U \in H$. \square

The following has also been mentioned in Section 1.2.

Proposition 2.2. *The lower radical $R_\downarrow(H)$ of H has codimension at least k in $\text{PG}(V)$.*

Proof. If the codimension of $R_\downarrow(H)$ is smaller than k , then every k -subspace of V meets $R_\downarrow(H)$ non-trivially, thus forcing H to be the full point-set of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$, while H is, by definition, a proper subspace of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. \square

Proposition 1.3 of Section 1.2 remains to be proved. We recall its statement here, for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 2.3. *Let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ with $3 \leq k < n - 1$. Assume that $n - k$ is even. Then $R^\uparrow(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if $R^\uparrow(H(W))$ is spread-like for every hyperplane W of V .*

Proof. Let $X \in G_{k-2}(W)$ be such that $R_X(H(W))$ contains a line ℓ of $\mathcal{S}_X(H(W))$. (Actually, if it contains a line then it must contain also a plane, but we shall not make use of this fact here). The polar space $\mathcal{S}_X(H(W))$ is the subgeometry of $\mathcal{S}_X(H)$ induced on the hyperplane W/X of V/X . Therefore the line $\ell \subset \mathcal{S}_X(H(W))$ contains at least one point collinear in $\mathcal{S}_X(H)$ with all points of $\mathcal{S}_X(H)$, namely a point of $R_X(H)$. Hence $R_X(H) \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 1.2, $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$. The ‘only if’ part of the statement is proved.

Turning to the ‘if’ part, assume $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$. Then $R_X(H) \neq \emptyset$ for some $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$. However, the projective space $R_X(H)$ has even rank, since $n - k$ is even. Therefore $R_X(H)$ contains a line ℓ of $\text{PG}(V/X)$. Let W be a hyperplane of V containing X and such that $[W/X] \supseteq \ell$. Clearly, $R_X(H(W)) \supseteq \ell$. Hence $R^\uparrow(H(W))$ is not spread-like. \square

2.3 An algebraic description of the upper radical $R^\uparrow(H)$

As above, let $3 \leq k < n = \dim(V)$. Given a linear functional $f : \bigwedge^k V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, let \tilde{f} be the linear mapping from $\bigwedge^{k-1} V$ to the dual V^* of V defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k-1} \in \bigwedge^{k-1} V &\mapsto \tilde{f}(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k-1}) \in V^* \\ \tilde{f}(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k-1}) : x \in V &\mapsto f(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge x). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Clearly,

$$\dim(\ker(\tilde{f})) \geq \dim \bigwedge^{k-1} V - \dim V^* = \binom{n}{k-1} - n. \quad (2)$$

Note that above f is not assumed to be non-null. Clearly, if f is the null functional then \tilde{f} is null as well.

As recalled in Section 1.1, for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ there exists a non-null linear functional $f_H : \bigwedge^k V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $H = \varepsilon_k^{-1}(\ker(f_H) \cap \mathbb{G}_k(V))$. With $\tilde{f}_H : \bigwedge^{k-1} V \rightarrow V^*$ defined as in (1), put $K(H) := \ker(\tilde{f}_H)$. We call $K(H)$ the *kernel* of H .

The kernel $K(H)$ is strictly related to the upper radical $R^\uparrow(H)$ of H , as we shall presently see. Indeed, a point $[v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k-1}] \in \mathbb{G}_{k-1}(V)$ belongs to $[K(H)]$ if and only if $\tilde{f}_H(v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k-1})$ is the null linear functional. This is equivalent to say that $\langle v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, x \rangle \in H$ for any $x \in V \setminus \langle v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \rangle$. Thus,

$$[K(H)] \cap \mathbb{G}_{k-1}(V) = \mathbb{R}^\uparrow(H) (= \varepsilon_k(R^\uparrow(H))). \quad (3)$$

This equation also proves that $\mathbb{R}^\dagger(H)$ is always an algebraic variety.

Take $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$ and let ξ be a vector representative of the point $\varepsilon_{k-2}(X) \in \text{PG}(\bigwedge^{k-2} V)$. Up to nonzero scalar multiples, the following alternating bilinear form $f_{H,X}$ defines $\mathcal{S}_X(H)$ as a polar space embedded in $\text{PG}(V/X)$:

$$f_{H,X} : \begin{cases} V/X \times V/X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \\ (X + u, X + v) \rightarrow f_H(\xi \wedge u \wedge v). \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Henceforth, when writing $f_{H,X}(\langle X, u \rangle, \langle X, v \rangle) = 0$ we shall mean that $f_{H,X}(X + u, X + v) = 0$.

Given a subspace W of V of dimension $\dim(W) > k$, let $f_{H|W}$ be the restriction of f_H to $\bigwedge^k W$. Recall that $H(W) = H \cap G_k(W)$ is either a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(W)$ or the whole set $G_k(W)$. In the first case the hyperplane $H(W)$ is defined by $f_{H|W}$ and, with $\tilde{f}_{H|W}$ defined as in (1), the kernel of $\tilde{f}_{H|W}$ is the kernel $K(H(W))$ of $H(W)$. In the second case, $H(W) = G_k(W)$ and $f_{H|W}$, as well as $\tilde{f}_{H|W}$, are null; consequently, $K(H(W)) = \bigwedge^{k-1} W$.

In any case, $K(H) \cap \bigwedge^{k-1} W \subseteq K(H(W))$. Note that in general this inclusion is proper.

Note 7. When \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, every subspace of $\text{PG}(\bigwedge^{k-1} V)$ of codimension at most $(k-1)(n-k+1)$ meets $\mathbb{G}_{k-1}(V)$ non-trivially, see [15, Proposition 11.4]. As $\text{cod}([K(H)]) \leq n$ by (2), we have $[K(H)] \cap \mathbb{G}_{k-1} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $R^\dagger(H) \neq \emptyset$, as claimed in Theorem 1.9.

We warn that the above argument does not work for arbitrary fields. For instance, if \mathbb{K} is finite, then $\text{PG}(\bigwedge^{k-1} V)$ admits subspaces of codimension n disjoint from $\mathbb{G}_{k-1}(V)$, see [6].

2.4 A result for $k = 3$

This subsection is devoted to the proof of a statement (see below, Proposition 2.5) which will be exploited several times in Section 3.

Assuming $k = 3$, let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. As in the previous subsection, $f_H \in (\bigwedge^3 V)^*$ defines H , the linear mapping $\tilde{f}_H : \bigwedge^2 V \rightarrow V^*$ is given as in (1) and $K(H) = \ker(\tilde{f}_H)$ is the kernel of H . For every subspace W of V of dimension $\dim(W) > 3$, if $H(W) \neq G_3(W)$ then $K(H(W))$ is the kernel of $H(W)$, otherwise $K(H(W)) = \bigwedge^2 W$. According to (4), given a point $\langle v \rangle \in \mathcal{G}_1(V)$, we can regard $\mathcal{S}_{\langle v \rangle}(H)$ as the polar space associated to the following bilinear alternating form $f_{H,\langle v \rangle} : V/\langle v \rangle \times V/\langle v \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$:

$$f_{H,\langle v \rangle}(\langle v \rangle + x, \langle v \rangle + y) = f_H(v \wedge x \wedge y) (= \tilde{f}_H(x \wedge y)(v)). \quad (5)$$

As in Subsection 1.4.2, we denote by $\delta(H)$ the depth of H . Recall that $n = \dim(V)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let t be a non-negative integer, $t \leq (n-2)/2$. Then either $\delta(H) \geq n-1-2t$ or $\dim(\bigwedge^2 W/K(H(W))) = n-t$ for every subspace W of V with $\dim(W) = n-t$.*

Proof. Suppose that for some $W < V$ with $\dim(W) = n-t$ we have $\dim(\bigwedge^2 W/K(H(W))) < n-t$, namely the mapping $\tilde{f}_{H|W} : \bigwedge^2 W \rightarrow W^*$ is not surjective. Then the image $T := \text{im} \tilde{f}_{H|W}$ of $\tilde{f}_{H|W}$ is contained in a hyperplane U of W^* . In particular, there exists $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$ such that for all $u^* \in U$, and thus for all $u^* \in T$, we have $u^*(w) = 0$. Hence $f_{H|W}(x \wedge y \wedge w) = \tilde{f}_{H|W}(x \wedge y)(w) = 0$ for all $x, y \in W$, since $\tilde{f}_{H|W}(x \wedge y) \in T$. Therefore $f_H(x \wedge y \wedge w) = 0$ for all $x, y \in W$, since $f_{H|W}$ is just the restriction of f_H to $\bigwedge^3 W$. Equivalently (compare (5)),

$$f_{H,\langle w \rangle}(\langle w \rangle + x, \langle w \rangle + y) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in W. \quad (6)$$

Put $p := \langle w \rangle$. In view of (6), the projective space $[W/p]$ is a totally isotropic subspace of the polar space $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ (i.e. a singular subspace in the sense of [21]). As $\dim(W/p) = n - t - 1$, the projective space $[W/p]$ has rank $\text{rk}[W/p] = n - t - 1$.

Recall that the degree $r(p)$ of p is the rank of the radical $R_p(H)$ of $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$. Since $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ is a polar space of symplectic type embedded in V/p and $\dim(V/p) = n - 1$, a maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ has rank equal to $(n - 1 + r(p))/2$. However, $[W/p]$ is totally isotropic and $\text{rk}[W/p] = n - t - 1$. Therefore $(n - 1 + r(p))/2 \geq n - t - 1$. It follows that $r(p) \geq n - 2t - 1$. Consequently, $\delta(H) \geq n - 2t - 1$. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let t be a non-negative integer, $t \leq (n - 2)/2$. Then either $\delta(H) \geq n - 1 - 2t$ or $\dim(K(H(W))/(K(H) \cap K(H(W)))) \leq t$ for any $(n - t)$ -dimensional subspace W of V .*

Proof. Suppose $\delta(H) < n - 1 - 2t$. By Lemma 2.4, for any $W \leq V$ with $\dim W = n - t$ we have $\dim K(H(W)) = \binom{n-t}{2} - n + t$. By Grassmann's formula and (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(K(H) \cap \bigwedge^2 W) &\geq \dim K(H) + \dim \bigwedge^2 W - \dim \bigwedge^2 V \geq \\ &\binom{n}{2} - n + \binom{n-t}{2} - \binom{n}{2} = \binom{n-t}{2} - n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K(H) \cap \bigwedge^2 W \subseteq K(H(W)) \subseteq \bigwedge^2 W$, we have $K(H) \cap K(H(W)) = K(H) \cap \bigwedge^2 W$. Hence $\dim(K(H) \cap K(H(W))) = \dim(K(H) \cap \bigwedge^2 W) \geq \binom{n-t}{2} - n$. The result now follows from the equality $\dim K(H(W)) = \binom{n-t}{2} - n + t$. \square

3 Constructions and classifications

In the first part of this section (subsections 3.1 and 3.2) we shall describe two ways to construct hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ that work for any choice of $n = \dim(V)$ and $k < n$. In the second part, we turn our attention to the cases $k = 3$ and $5 \leq n \leq 7$, giving a survey of what is presently known on hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ for these values of n . In particular, when $n = 5$ only two types of hyperplanes exist. A complete classification is also available for $n = 6$ while for $n = 7$ a classification is known only under the assumption that the underlying field \mathbb{K} of V is perfect and belongs to the class $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ of fields of cohomological dimension at most 1.

3.1 Trivial extensions, trivial hyperplanes and lower radicals

Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be a decomposition of V as the direct sum of two non-trivial subspaces V_0 and V_1 . Put $n_0 := \dim(V_0)$ and assume that $n_0 \geq k$ (≥ 3). Let $\varphi_0 : V_0 \times \cdots \times V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a non-trivial k -linear alternating form on V_0 . The form φ_0 can naturally be extended to a k -linear alternating form φ of V by setting

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= 0 && \text{if } x_i \in V_1 \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) &= \varphi_0(x_1, \dots, x_k) && \text{if } x_i \in V_0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

and then extending by linearity. Let H_φ be the hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ defined by φ . The following is straightforward.

Theorem 3.1. *Assuming that $k < n_0$, let H_0 be the hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V_0)$ defined by φ_0 . Let also $\pi : V \rightarrow V_0$ be the projection of V onto V_0 along V_1 . Then,*

$$H_\varphi = \{X \in \mathcal{G}_k(V) : \text{either } X \cap V_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } \pi(X) \in H_0\}.$$

The properties gathered in the next corollary immediately follow from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $k < n_0$. Then all the following properties hold:*

- (1) $R_\downarrow(H_\varphi) = \langle R_\downarrow(H_0) \cup [V_1] \rangle$ where the span is taken in $\text{PG}(V)$.
- (2) $R^\uparrow(H_\varphi) = \{X \in G_{k-1}(V) : \text{either } X \cap V_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } \pi(X) \in R^\uparrow(H_0)\}$.
- (3) For $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$, if $X \cap V_1 \neq 0$ then $R_X(H_\varphi) = [V/X]$ (namely $\mathcal{S}_X(H_\varphi)$ is trivial), otherwise $R_X(H_\varphi)$ has the same rank as the span of $R_{\pi(X)}(H_0) \cup [(V_1 + \pi(X))/\pi(X)]$ in $\text{PG}(V/\pi(X))$.

Note 8. When $k = 3$, claim (3) of Corollary 3.2 can be rephrased as follows: the points $p \notin [V_1]$ have degree $r(p) = r_0(\pi(p)) + n - n_0$, where $r_0(\pi(p))$ is the degree of $\pi(p)$ with respect to H_0 . The points $p \in [V_1]$ have degree $n - 1$.

We call H_φ the *trivial extension of H_0 centered at V_1* (also *extension of H_0 by V_1* , for short) and we denote it by the symbol $H_0 \odot V_1$. When convenient, we shall take the liberty of writing $H_0 \odot [V_1]$ instead of $H_0 \odot V_1$, referring to the projective space $[V_1]$ instead of V_1 .

In Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 we have assumed $k < n_0$ in order to introduce the hyperplane H_0 associated to φ_0 , but the case $k = n_0$ can also be dealt with, modulo some conventions. Let $k = n_0$; then $\varphi_0(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 0$ if and only if the vectors x_1, \dots, x_k are linearly dependent. We can still give H_0 a meaning, stating that in this case $H_0 = \emptyset$. We can also stress the terminology stated in the introduction of this paper, putting $\mathcal{G}_k(V_0) = \{V_0\}$ and regarding \emptyset as the unique hyperplane of $\{V_0\}$. Accordingly, $R_\downarrow(H_0) = R^\uparrow(H_0) = \emptyset$. Also $\mathcal{S}_X(H_0) = G_{k-1}(X)$ (just a set of points, with no lines) and $R_X(H_0) = \emptyset$ for every $X \in G_{k-2}(V_0)$. With this conventions, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 remain valid, word by word. Thus, we feel we are allowed to denote H_φ by the symbol $H_0 \odot V_1$ and call it the *trivial extension of H_0 by V_1* even in the case $k = n_0$. However, it will be convenient to have also a different name and a different symbol for this situation: when $k = n_0$ (namely $H_0 = \emptyset$) we shall call $H_0 \odot V_1$ the *trivial hyperplane centered at V_1* (or *at $[V_1]$* , if we prefer so).

When $H_0 \odot V_1$ is trivial in the above sense, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 can also be rephrased as follows, with no mention of H_0 .

Proposition 3.3. *Let H be the trivial hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ centered at V_1 . Then*

$$H = \{X \in G_k(V) : X \cap V_1 \neq 0\}.$$

Moreover, $R_\downarrow(H) = [V_1]$, $R^\uparrow(H) = \{X \in G_{k-1}(V) : X \cap V_1 \neq 0\}$ and, for $X \in G_{k-2}(V)$, if $X \cap V_1 \neq 0$ then $R_X(H) = [V/X]$, otherwise $R_X(H) = [(V_1 + X)/X]$.

By the previous results, the lower radical of a trivial extension is never empty. The converse is also true: if $R_\downarrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ then H is a trivial extension, possibly a trivial hyperplane.

Indeed, let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ and let φ be a k -linear alternating form on V defining H . Let $R := \text{Rad}(\varphi)$ be the radical of φ . Then $R_\downarrow(H) = [R]$, as noticed in Section 1.2. Let S be a complement of R in V and φ_S the form induced by φ on S . If φ_S is trivial, then φ will be trivial as well, as $R = \text{Rad}(\varphi)$. However φ is not trivial, since it defines a hyperplane. Hence, φ_S is non-trivial. Put $n_S := \dim(S)$. By Proposition 2.2, the subspace $R_\downarrow(H)$ has codimension at least k in $\text{PG}(V)$. Equivalently, $\text{cod}(R) \geq k$. Hence, $n_S \geq k$. If $n_S > k$, then $H(S) = G_k(S) \cap H$ is the hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(S)$ associated to the non-trivial form φ_S . If $n_S = k$, then we put $H(S) := \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose $R_\downarrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ and let S be a complement in V of the subspace $R < V$ such that $[R] = R_\downarrow(H)$. Then $H = H(S) \odot R_\downarrow(H)$. Moreover, $R_\downarrow(H(S)) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By assumption, $R \neq 0$. The form φ satisfies conditions (7) with φ_S and R in the roles of φ_0 and V_1 respectively. Hence φ is the extension of φ_S as defined by those conditions. Consequently, H is the trivial extension of $H(S)$ by $R_\downarrow(H)$. When $n_S > k$ the equality $R_\downarrow(H(S)) = \emptyset$ follows from (1) of Corollary 3.2 and the fact that $H(S) \odot R_\downarrow(H) = H$. When $n_S = k$, then $R_\downarrow(H(S)) = \emptyset$ by definition. \square

Corollary 3.5. *With R as in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4, let S and S' be two complements of R in V . Then, $H(S) \cong H(S')$.*

Proof. $H(S) \odot R_\downarrow(H) = H(S') \odot R_\downarrow(H) = H$ by Theorem 3.4. The projection of V onto S' along R induces on S an isomorphism $\pi_S : S \xrightarrow{\cong} S'$. By Theorem 3.1, π_S maps $H(S)$ onto $H(S')$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *A hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ is trivial if and only if $\text{cod}(R_\downarrow(H)) = k$.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Theorem 3.4. \square

3.2 Expansions and symplectic hyperplanes

Let V_0 be a hyperplane of V and H_0 a given hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V_0)$. As usual, assume $k \geq 3$; hence V has dimension $n \geq 4$. Put:

$$E(H_0) := \{X \in \mathcal{G}_k(V) : \text{either } X \subset V_0 \text{ or } X \cap V_0 \in H_0\}.$$

Theorem 3.7. *The set $E(H_0)$ is a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. Moreover:*

- (1) $R_\downarrow(E(H_0)) = R_\downarrow(H_0)$.
- (2) $R^\uparrow(E(H_0)) = H_0 \cup \{X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V) \setminus \mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V_0) : X \cap V_0 \in R^\uparrow(H_0)\}$.
- (3) For $X \in \mathcal{G}_{k-2}(V)$, if $X \subseteq V_0$ with $X \in R^\uparrow(H_0)$ then $R_X(E(H_0)) = \mathcal{S}_X(E(H_0)) = (X)G_{k-1}$ (the latter being computed in V). If $X \subseteq V_0$ but $X \notin R^\uparrow(H_0)$ then $R_X(E(H_0)) = (X)H_0$ (a subspace of $\text{PG}(V_0/X)$). Finally, if $X \not\subseteq V_0$, then $R_X(E(H_0)) = \{\langle x, Y \rangle : Y \in R_{X \cap V_0}(H_0)\}$ for a given $x \in X \setminus V_0$, no matter which.

Proof. We only prove that $E(H_0)$ is a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. The proofs of claims (1), (2) and (3) are straightforward. We leave them to the reader.

Let $\ell_{Y,Z}$ be a line of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. If $Z \subseteq V_0$ then $\ell_{Y,Z} \subseteq E(H_0)$, by definition of $E(H_0)$. Let $Z \not\subseteq V_0$ but $Y \subseteq V_0$. Then $Z \cap V_0 \supset Y$. In this case either $\ell_{Y,Z} \subseteq E(H_0)$ or $\ell_{Y,Z} \cap E(H_0) = \{Y\}$ according to whether $Y \in H_0$ or not. Finally, let $Y \not\subseteq V_0$. Then $\ell_{Y \cap V_0, Z \cap V_0}$ is a line of $\mathcal{G}_{k-1}(V_0)$ and, given $y \in Y \setminus V_0$, we have $\ell_{Y,Z} = \{\langle y, X \rangle : X \in \ell_{Y \cap V_0, Z \cap V_0}\}$. Consequently, either $\ell_{Y,Z} \subseteq E(H_0)$ or $\ell_{Y,Z} \cap E(H_0)$ is a singleton, according to whether $\ell_{Y \cap V_0, Z \cap V_0} \subseteq H_0$ or $\ell_{Y \cap V_0, Z \cap V_0} \cap H_0$ is a singleton. In any case, either $\ell_{Y,Z}$ is fully contained in $E(H_0)$ or it meets $E(H_0)$ in one single point. Thus, $E(H_0)$ is a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$. \square

We call $E(H_0)$ the *expansion* of H_0 . A form $f : \bigwedge^k V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ associated to $E(H_0)$ can be constructed as follows. Suppose $f_0 : \bigwedge^{k-1} V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defines H_0 . Given a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V in such a way that $\langle e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle = V_0$, consider the canonical basis $\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$ of $\bigwedge^k V$. Put

$$f(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i_k < n, \\ f_0(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_{k-1}}) & \text{if } i_k = n. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

and extend it by linearity. It is not difficult to check that f indeed defines $E(H_0)$.

3.2.1 Expansions and trivial extensions

The next corollary immediately follows from (1) of Theorem 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. *We have $R_{\downarrow}(E(H_0)) = \emptyset$ if and only if $R_{\downarrow}(H_0) = \emptyset$.*

Hence, according to Theorem 3.4, if $R_{\downarrow}(H_0) \neq \emptyset$ then $E(H_0)$ is a trivial extension. Explicitly, let R_0 be the subspace of V_0 corresponding to $R_{\downarrow}(H_0)$. Assume that $R_0 \neq 0$ and let S_0 be a complement of R_0 in V_0 . Let S be a complement of R_0 in V containing S_0 . In order to avoid annoying complications, assume that $\dim(S_0) > k - 1$. It is easy to check that the hyperplane induced by $E(H_0)$ on S is just the expansion $E(H_0(S))$ (from S_0 to S) of the hyperplane $H_0(S_0)$ induced by H_0 on S_0 . Hence Theorem 3.4 and claim (1) of Theorem 3.7 yield the following:

Corollary 3.9. *Under the previous assumptions, $E(H_0) = E(H_0(S_0)) \odot R_{\downarrow}(H_0)$.*

In the above we have assumed that $\dim(S_0) > k - 1$, namely H_0 is non-trivial. With some additional conventions, we can interpret the statement of Corollary 3.9 so that it also holds when H_0 is trivial. However, there is no need to stress definitions this much. When H_0 is trivial we have the following simple statement.

Corollary 3.10. *If H_0 is trivial then $E(H_0)$ is also trivial, with $R_{\downarrow}(H_0)$ as its center.*

Proof. Let H_0 be trivial. Then $R_{\downarrow}(E(H_0))$ has codimension k in $\text{PG}(V)$, since $R_{\downarrow}(E(H_0)) = R_{\downarrow}(H_0)$ and the latter has codimension $k - 1$ in V_0 . The conclusion follows from Corollary 3.6 and Proposition 3.3. \square

3.2.2 Symplectic hyperplanes

Assume now $k = 3$. According to Proposition 1.1, the point-line geometry $\mathcal{S}(H_0) = (G_1(V_0), H_0)$ is a polar space of symplectic type. The upper and lower radical of H_0 are mutually equal and coincide with the radical $R(H_0)$ of $\mathcal{S}(H_0)$.

Suppose firstly that $R(H_0) = \emptyset$, namely $\mathcal{S}(H_0)$ is non-degenerate. Then $n - 1$ is even, whence $n \geq 5$. Claims (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.7 imply the following:

Proposition 3.11. *$R_{\downarrow}(E(H_0)) = \emptyset$ and $R^{\uparrow}(E(H_0)) = H_0$.*

Let $\mathcal{P}(E(H_0))$ be the geometry of poles of $E(H_0)$ (see Section 1.4.1). The next corollary immediately follows from Proposition 3.11:

Corollary 3.12. *$\mathcal{P}(E(H_0)) = \mathcal{S}(H_0)$.*

In particular, the points of $[V] \setminus [V_0]$ are smooth while those of $[V_0]$ are poles (of degree 1). Motivated by Corollary 3.12, when $R(H_0) = \emptyset$ we call $E(H_0)$ a *symplectic hyperplane*.

Assume now that $R(H_0) \neq \emptyset$. Then $R_{\downarrow}(E(H_0)) \neq \emptyset$, since $R_{\downarrow}(E(H_0)) = R(H_0)$ (by case 1) of Theorem 3.7). Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10 now imply that $E(H_0)$ is either a trivial extension of a symplectic hyperplane by $R(H_0)$ (when $\text{rk}(R(H_0)) < n - 3$) or a trivial hyperplane centered at $R(H_0)$ (when $\text{rk}(R(H_0)) = n - 3$).

3.3 Low dimensional cases

In this subsection we survey what is known on hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ when V has dimension $n \leq 7$. When $n = 4$ the hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ are just the hyperplanes of $\text{PG}(V)$. The case $n = 5$ is dealt with in § 3.3.1 while §§ 3.3.3 and 3.3.4 are respectively devoted to the cases $n = 6$

and $n = 7$. In § 3.3.2 we fix some notation for k -linear alternating forms, to be used in §§ 3.3.3 and 3.3.4.

In the sequel we shall refer to isomorphism classes of hyperplanes. Actually, we have already used the notion of isomorphism before (in Corollary 3.5, for instance) without referring to an explicit definition, but this becomes now necessary. We say that two hyperplanes H and H' of $\mathcal{G}_k(V)$ are *isomorphic*, and we write $H \cong H'$, when $H' = g(H) := \{g(X)\}_{X \in H}$ for some $g \in \text{GL}(V)$. Recall that two k -linear alternating forms φ and φ' on V are said to be *equivalent* when

$$\varphi'(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \varphi(g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k)), \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_k \in V$$

for some $g \in \text{GL}(V)$. Accordingly, if H and H' are the hyperplanes associated to φ and φ' , we have $H \cong H'$ if and only if φ' is proportional to a form equivalent to φ . Note that if $\varphi' = \lambda \cdot \varphi$ for a scalar $\lambda \neq 0$ then φ and φ' are equivalent if and only if λ is a k -th power in \mathbb{K} .

We say that two forms φ and φ' are *nearly equivalent*, and we write $\varphi \sim \varphi'$, when each of them is equivalent to a non-zero scalar multiple of the other. With this notation, we can concisely rephrase the above definition as follows: $H \cong H'$ if and only if $\varphi \sim \varphi'$.

We extend the above terminology to linear functionals of $\bigwedge^k V$, saying that two linear functionals $f, f' \in (\bigwedge^k V)^*$ are *nearly equivalent* and writing $f \sim f'$ when their corresponding k -alternating forms are nearly equivalent.

3.3.1 Case $n = 5$

Theorem 3.13. *Let $n = 5$. Then, up to isomorphism, only two hyperplanes exist in $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$, namely the symplectic hyperplane and the trivial one.*

Proof. This result can be drawn out of the classification of [18], but it can also be proved by the following elementary argument.

Trivial hyperplanes exist in any k -Grassmannian while symplectic hyperplanes exist in any 3-Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ provided that $n = \dim(V)$ is odd and at least 5. As $n = 5$ by assumption, $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ admits both trivial and symplectic hyperplanes. In order to see that these two families form two isomorphism classes and no more hyperplanes exist in $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$, we consider $\mathcal{G}_2(V^*)$. Any hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ appears also as a hyperplane H^* of $\mathcal{G}_2(V^*)$. According to Proposition 1.1, H^* is the line-set of a polar space $\mathcal{S}(H^*)$ of symplectic type embedded in $\text{PG}(V^*)$. As $\dim(V^*) = 5$, up to isomorphism, only two possibilities exist for $\mathcal{S}(H^*)$, according as its radical has rank 1 or 3. Therefore, only two isomorphism classes of hyperplanes exist in $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let $n = 5$. Then $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.*

Proof. Indeed, $R^\uparrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ both when H is trivial and when H is of symplectic type. \square

Of course, far more of what we have put in Corollary 3.14 can be said. As remarked in the proof of Theorem 3.13, the radical $R(H^*)$ of the polar space $\mathcal{S}(H^*)$ has rank either 1 or 3. When $\text{rk}(R(H^*)) = 3$ then H is trivial while if $\text{rk}(R(H^*)) = 1$ then H is symplectic. In the first case $R_\downarrow(H)$ is a line of $\text{PG}(V)$ and $R^\uparrow(H)$ is the set of lines of $\text{PG}(V)$ that meet the line $R_\downarrow(H)$ non-trivially. $R_\downarrow(H)$ corresponds to $R(H^*)$.

Suppose $\text{rk}(R(H^*)) = 1$; then H is of symplectic type. Accordingly, the geometry $\mathcal{P}(H)$ of poles of H is isomorphic to the generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{W}(3, \mathbb{K})$ associated to a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form of $V(4, \mathbb{K})$. This can also be seen in a more direct way as follows. In the case under consideration $R(H^*)$, being a point of $\text{PG}(V^*)$, corresponds to a hyperplane V_0 of V . The corresponding hyperplane $[V_0]$ of $\text{PG}(V)$ is the set of poles of H , namely the point-set of the

generalized quadrangle $\mathcal{P}(H)$. The latter is naturally isomorphic to the quotient $\mathcal{S}(H^*)/R(H^*)$ ($\cong \mathcal{W}(3, \mathbb{K})$).

As $\mathcal{P}(H) \cong \mathcal{W}(3, \mathbb{K})$ and $\dim(V_0) = 4$, for every point $p \in [V_0]$ the lines of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ through p (namely the members of $R^\uparrow(H)$ containing p) span a plane S_p of $[V_0]$. Hence they form a line ℓ_{p, S_p} of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. These are precisely the lines of the geometry $\mathcal{R}^\uparrow(H)$ induced by $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ on $R^\uparrow(H)$. It is now clear that $\mathcal{R}^\uparrow(H)$ is isomorphic to the dual of $\mathcal{P}(H)$. In short, $\mathcal{R}^\uparrow(H) \cong \mathcal{Q}(4, \mathbb{K})$, the generalized quadrangle associated to a non-singular quadratic form of $V(5, \mathbb{K})$.

3.3.2 Notation for linear functionals of $\bigwedge^3 V$

Given a non-trivial linear functional $h \in (\bigwedge^3 V)^*$, let χ and H_h be respectively the alternating 3-linear form and the hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ associated to it. Recall that $R_\downarrow(H_h) = [\text{Rad}(\chi)]$ (see Section 1.1). We put $\text{rk}(h) := \text{cod}_V(\text{Rad}(\chi))$ and we call this number the *rank* of h . Clearly, functionals of different rank can never be nearly equivalent. By Proposition 2.2 we know that $\text{rk}(h) \geq 3$.

Proposition 3.15. $\text{rk}(h) \neq 4$.

Proof. By way of contradiction, suppose $\text{rk}(h) = 4$. Then, by Theorem 3.4, the hyperplane H_h is a trivial extension $H = H_0 \odot \text{Rad}(\chi)$ for a complement V_0 of $\text{Rad}(\chi)$ in V and a suitable hyperplane $H_0 \in \mathcal{G}_3(V_0)$ with $R_\downarrow(H_0) = \emptyset$. However, $\dim(V_0) = \text{rk}(h) = 4$. Hence $H_0 = (p)G_3$ for a point $p \in \text{PG}(V_0)$. Consequently, $R_\downarrow(H_0) = \{p\}$, while we said above that $R_\downarrow(H_0) = \emptyset$. \square

Fix a basis $E := (e_i)_{i=1}^n$ of V . The dual basis of E in V^* is $E^* := (e^i)_{i=1}^n$, where $e^i \in V^*$ is the linear functional such that $e^i(e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ (Kronecker symbol). The set $(e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k)_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n}$ is the basis of $(\bigwedge^3 V)^*$ dual of the basis $(e_i \wedge e_j \wedge e_k)_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n}$ of $\bigwedge^3 V$ canonically associated to E . We shall adopt the convention of writing \underline{ijk} for $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$, thus representing linear functionals of $\bigwedge^3 V$ as linear combinations of symbols as \underline{ijk} .

In Table 1 we list a number of possible types of linear functionals of $\bigwedge^3 V$ of rank at most 7, called T_1, \dots, T_9 and $T_{10, \lambda}^{(1)}, T_{10, \lambda}^{(2)}, T_{11, \lambda}^{(1)}$ and $T_{11, \lambda}^{(2)}$, where λ is a scalar subject to the conditions specified in the table. Note that description of each of these types makes sense for any n , provided that n is not smaller than the rank of (a linear functional admitting) that description. Also, according to the clauses assumed on λ , types $T_{s, \lambda}^{(r)}$ ($r = \{1, 2\}, s = \{10, 11\}$) are considered only when \mathbb{K} is not quadratically closed. Moreover, when $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ the types $T_{s, \lambda}^{(r)}$ and $T_{s, \lambda'}^{(r)}$ are regarded as different in principle, even if it turned out that they describe nearly equivalent forms.

If T is one of the types of Table 1, we say that $h \in (\bigwedge^3 V)^*$ is of *type* T if h is nearly equivalent to the linear functional described at row T of Table 1. The *type* of H_h is the type of h . Clearly, functionals of the same type are nearly equivalent. It follows from Revoy [18] and Cohen and Helminck [5] that two functionals of types T_i and T_j with $1 \leq i < j \leq 9$ are never nearly equivalent; a functional of type T_i with $i \leq 9$ is never nearly equivalent to a functional of type $T_{s, \lambda}^{(r)}$; two functionals of type $T_{s, \lambda}^{(r)}$ and $T_{s', \lambda'}^{(r')}$ with $(r, s) \neq (r', s')$ are never nearly equivalent while two functionals of type $T_{s, \lambda}^{(r)}$ and $T_{s, \lambda'}^{(r)}$ are nearly equivalent if and only if, denoted by μ and μ' a root of $p_\lambda(t)$ and $p_{\lambda'}(t)$ respectively in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{K} , we have $\mathbb{K}(\mu) = \mathbb{K}(\mu')$ (see the fourth column of Table 1 for the definition of $p_\lambda(t)$).

3.3.3 Case $n = 6$

Revoy [18] has classified equivalence classes of 6-dimensional trivectors. In view of his classification, when $\dim(V) = 6$ every non-trivial linear functional $h \in (\bigwedge^3 V)^*$ belongs to one of the

Type	Description	Rank	Special conditions, if any
T_1	<u>123</u>	3	
T_2	<u>123</u> + <u>145</u>	5	
T_3	<u>123</u> + <u>456</u>	6	
T_4	<u>162</u> + <u>243</u> + <u>135</u>	6	
T_5	<u>123</u> + <u>456</u> + <u>147</u>	7	
T_6	<u>152</u> + <u>174</u> + <u>163</u> + <u>243</u>	7	
T_7	<u>146</u> + <u>157</u> + <u>245</u> + <u>367</u>	7	
T_8	<u>123</u> + <u>145</u> + <u>167</u>	7	
T_9	<u>123</u> + <u>456</u> + <u>147</u> + <u>257</u> + <u>367</u>	7	
$T_{10,\lambda}^{(1)}$	<u>123</u> + $\lambda(\underline{156} + \underline{345} + \underline{426})$	6	$p_\lambda(t) := t^2 - \lambda$ irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[t]$.
$T_{10,\lambda}^{(2)}$	<u>126</u> + <u>153</u> + <u>234</u> + $(\lambda^2 + 1)\underline{456} + \lambda(\underline{156} + \underline{345} + \underline{426})$	6	$\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$ and $p_\lambda(t) := t^2 + \lambda t + 1$ irreducible in $\mathbb{K}[t]$.
$T_{11,\lambda}^{(1)}$	[the same as at $T_{10,\lambda}^{(1)}$] + <u>147</u>	7	same conditions as for $T_{10,\lambda}^{(1)}$
$T_{11,\lambda}^{(2)}$	[the same as at $T_{10,\lambda}^{(2)}$] + <u>147</u>	7	same conditions as for $T_{10,\lambda}^{(2)}$

Table 1: types for linear functionals of $\bigwedge^3 V$.

types $T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4, T_{10,\lambda}^{(1)}$ or $T_{10,\lambda}^{(2)}$ of Table 1; we remark that the latter two types might comprise several inequivalent cases. Furthermore, in these two cases, with $p_\lambda(t)$ as in Table 1, let μ be a root of $p_\lambda(t)$ in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{K} , let $\mathbb{K}' := \mathbb{K}(\mu)$ be the quadratic extension of \mathbb{K} by μ and $V' := \mathbb{K}' \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V$. If h has type $T_{10,\lambda}^{(1)}$ then, regarded as a linear functional of $\bigwedge^3 V'$, it has type T_3 or T_4 according to whether $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$ or $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$. If h has type $T_{10,\lambda}^{(2)}$ (whence $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 2$) then it has type T_3 when regarded as a linear functional of $\bigwedge^3 V'$. In other words, if we replace V with V' then $T_{10,\lambda}^{(r)}$ disappears, absorbed by T_3 or T_4 , although $\bigwedge^3 V'$ can still admit linear functionals of type $T_{10,\lambda'}^{(r)}$, but with $\lambda' \in \mathbb{K}'$ necessarily different from the scalar λ previously chosen in \mathbb{K} .

The next theorem is a recapitulation of the above, with some additional information on H_h . In the first two cases, the hyperplane H_h is easy to describe: it is either trivial or a trivial extension of a symplectic hyperplane. In each of the remaining three cases, being currently unable to offer a nice geometric description of H , we only provide a description of the upper radical.

Theorem 3.16. *With $\dim(V) = 6$, let h be a non-trivial linear functional of $\bigwedge^3 V$, let χ be the alternating 3-linear form associated to h and $H := H_h$ be the hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ defined by h . Then one of the following occurs.*

1. *h has type T_1 (rank 3). In this case H is the trivial hyperplane centered at $\text{Rad}(\chi)$. Its upper radical is the set of 2-subspaces of V that meet $\text{Rad}(\chi)$ non-trivially.*
2. *h has type T_2 (rank 5), namely $\text{Rad}(\chi)$ is 1-dimensional. In this case H is a trivial extension $H = E(H_0) \odot \text{Rad}(\chi)$ of a symplectic hyperplane $E(H_0)$, constructed in a complement V' of $\text{Rad}(\chi)$ in V starting from the line-set H_0 of a symplectic generalized quadrangle liv-*

ing in a hyperplane of V' . The elements of $R^\uparrow(H)$ are the lines of $\text{PG}(V)$ that either belong to H_0 or pass through the point $[\text{Rad}(\chi)] = R_\downarrow(H)$.

3. h has type T_3 (rank 6). Then $R^\uparrow(H) = \{\langle x, y \rangle : x \in V_1 \setminus \{0\}, y \in V_2 \setminus \{0\}\}$ for a suitable decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ with $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = 3$.
4. h has type T_4 (rank 6). Then $R^\uparrow(H) = \{\langle x + y, \omega(x) \rangle : x \in V_1 \setminus \{0\}, y \in V_2\}$ for a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ with $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_2) = 3$ and ω an isomorphism from V_1 to V_2 .
5. h has type $T_{10,\lambda}^{(1)}$ or $T_{10,\lambda}^{(2)}$ (rank 6). Then $R^\uparrow(H)$ is a Desarguesian line spread of $\text{PG}(V)$.

Proof. In view of [18], only the claims on H need to be proved. When h has rank 3 then H is a trivial hyperplane by Corollary 3.6 (recall that $R_\downarrow(H) = [\text{Rad}(\chi)]$). Proposition 3.3 yields a description of its upper radical. Let h have rank 5. Then, by Theorem 3.4 we have $H = H' \odot \text{Rad}(\chi)$ for a hyperplane H' of $\mathcal{G}_3(V')$ and a suitable complement V' of $\text{Rad}(\chi)$ in V . Moreover $R_\downarrow(H') = \emptyset$. Comparing with the results of Theorem 3.13 we see that H' is a symplectic hyperplane, namely $H' = E(H_0)$ with H_0 as claimed. The description of $R^\uparrow(H)$ follows by claim (2) of Corollary 3.2 and what we know of H' .

In the remaining cases h has full rank, namely $R_\downarrow(H) = \emptyset$. The information on $R^\uparrow(H)$ can be obtained by computing the kernel of \tilde{h} , where \tilde{h} is defined as (1) of Subsection 2.3. Indeed $R^\uparrow(H) = \varepsilon_2^{-1}(\ker(\tilde{h}) \cap \mathbb{G}_2(V))$ by (3) of Subsection 2.3. We refer the reader to [4] for the details of those computations and more information on H . \square

3.3.4 Case $n = 7$ with \mathbb{K} a perfect field of cohomological dimension at most 1

Cohen and Helminck [5] have classified linear functionals of $\bigwedge^3 V$ for $n = 7$ under the hypothesis that \mathbb{K} belongs to $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ and is a perfect field, proving that any such linear functional, if non-trivial, belongs to one of types listed in Table 1 or is a scalar multiple of T_9 . Of course, as \mathbb{K} is perfect by assumption, types $T_{10,\lambda}^{(1)}$ and $T_{11,\lambda}^{(1)}$ now exist independently only when $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$.

Throughout this subsection $n = 7$. As in the previous two subsections, h is a non-trivial linear functional of $\bigwedge^3 V$ and $H := H_h$ is the hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ defined by h . For the moment we do not make any assumption on \mathbb{K} , although the hypotheses of Theorem 3.17 are tailored on the classification of Cohen and Helminck [5]. We will turn back to the hypotheses of [5] in Corollary 3.18.

Suppose firstly that $\text{rk}(h) < 7$. Then by Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 3.4, either H is a trivial hyperplane or it is a trivial extension of a hyperplane H_0 of $\mathcal{G}_3(V_0)$ with $R_\downarrow(H_0) = \emptyset$, for a subspace V_0 of V of either dimension 5 or 6 (see also Proposition 3.15). All we might wish to know on $R^\uparrow(H)$ can be obtained either from Proposition 3.3 (when H is trivial) or by Corollary 3.2 and the information previously achieved on hyperplanes of $\mathcal{G}_3(V_0)$ (Theorems 3.13 and 3.16).

The case $\text{rk}(h) = 7$ is discussed in Theorem 3.17, where we collect some information on the geometry $\mathcal{P}(H)$ of the poles of H (see § 1.4.1) under the additional assumption that h belongs to one of the types of rank 7 of Table 1, but with $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$ in case $T_{11,\lambda}^{(1)}$ (in accordance with [5]). We recall that the lines of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are the elements of $R^\uparrow(H)$ and the points of $\mathcal{P}(H)$, called poles of H , are just the points of $\text{PG}(V)$ that belong to elements of $R^\uparrow(H)$. Note that, as we assumed that h has full rank, 2 and 4 are the only possible values for the degree of a pole of H . As in § 1.4.1, we denote by $P(H)$ the set of poles of H .

According to Theorem 3.17, when h has type T_9 the geometry $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is a split Cayley hexagon. We refer the reader to Van Maldeghem [22] for a definition and the properties of this family of generalized hexagons.

We fix some terminology and conventions which will be exploited in the statement of Theorem 3.17. We say that h is *in canonical form* if it admits a description given in Table 1 with respect to the basis $E = (e_i)_{i=1}^7$ chosen for V . Clearly, there is no loss of generality in assuming that h is in canonical form, but we will make this assumption only when necessary. For two vectors $x = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i x_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i y_i$ of V and $1 \leq i < j \leq 7$ we put $|x, y|_{i,j} := x_i y_j - x_j y_i$, namely $|x, y|_{i,j}$ is the (i, j) -Plücker coordinate of $x \wedge y$ with respect to the basis $(e_i \wedge e_j)_{1 \leq i < j \leq 7}$ of V associated to E .

Theorem 3.17. *With $\dim(V) = 7$, let h be a linear functional of $\bigwedge^3 V$ belonging to one of the types of rank 7 in Table 1, provided that $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) \neq 2$ for type $T_{11,\lambda}^{(1)}$. Then the following propositions hold on $H := H_h$, according to the type of h .*

- 1) h has type T_5 . Two non-degenerate symplectic polar spaces \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 are given, with distinct hyperplanes S_1 and S_2 of $\text{PG}(V)$ as their point-sets and such that they induce the same polar space \mathcal{S}_0 on $S_0 := S_1 \cap S_2$. The radical of \mathcal{S}_0 is a point, say p_0 . Two totally isotropic planes A_1 and A_2 of \mathcal{S}_0 are also given in such a way that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \{p_0\}$. The poles of H are the points of $S_1 \cup S_2$, the poles of degree 4 being the points of $A_1 \cup A_2$. The lines of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are the totally isotropic lines of \mathcal{S}_i that meet A_i non-trivially, for $i = 1, 2$.
- 2) h has type T_6 . The point-set $P(H)$ of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(V)$. A non-degenerate polar space \mathcal{S} of symplectic type is defined over $P(H)$ and a totally isotropic plane A of \mathcal{S} is given. The lines of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are the lines of \mathcal{S} that meet A non-trivially. The points of A are the poles of H of degree 4.
- 3) h has type T_7 . With h in canonical form, let C be the conic described by the equation $x_1^2 = x_2 x_3$ in the plane $S := [e_1, e_2, e_3]$ of $\text{PG}(V)$ and let Q be the hyperbolic quadric of $[e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7]$ with equation $x_4 x_6 + x_5 x_7 = 0$. Let Γ be the cone with S as the vertex and Q as the basis. Then $P(H) = \Gamma$ and C is the set of poles of degree 4. The lines of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are the lines of S and the lines $[x, y] \subset \Gamma$ with $|x, y|_{1,4} = |x, y|_{3,7}$, $|x, y|_{1,7} = |x, y|_{2,4}$ and satisfying one of the following:
 - a) $[x, y] \cap S$ is a point of C and $|x, y|_{1,5} + |x, y|_{3,6} = |x, y|_{1,6} + |x, y|_{2,5} = 0$;
 - b) $[x, y] \cap S = \emptyset$ and $|x, y|_{4,6} + |x, y|_{5,7} = |x, y|_{4,5} = |x, y|_{6,7} = 0$.
- 4) h has type T_8 . In this case H is a symplectic hyperplane. In view of Corollary 3.12, the geometry $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is a non-degenerate polar space of symplectic type and rank 3, naturally embedded in a hyperplane of $\text{PG}(V)$. All poles of H have degree 4.
- 5) h has type T_9 . Then $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is a split Cayley hexagon naturally embedded in a non-singular quadric of $\text{PG}(V)$. Its dual admits a natural embedding in $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$. All poles of H have degree 2. More explicitly, with h in canonical form, the set $P(H)$ of the poles of H is the quadric of $\text{PG}(V)$ described by the equation $x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_5 + x_3 x_6 = x_7^2$ and the lines of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ are the lines $[x, y]$ of $\text{PG}(V)$ contained in $P(H)$ and satisfying the following equations:
$$\begin{aligned} |x, y|_{1,2} + |x, y|_{6,7} &= |x, y|_{2,3} + |x, y|_{4,7} = |x, y|_{4,6} + |x, y|_{2,7} = 0, \\ |x, y|_{1,3} &= |x, y|_{5,7}, \quad |x, y|_{4,5} = |x, y|_{3,7}, \quad |x, y|_{5,6} = |x, y|_{1,7}. \end{aligned}$$
- 7) h has type $T_{11,\lambda}^{(r)}$, $r = 1, 2$. With h in canonical form, $P(H) = [e_2, e_3, e_5, e_6, e_7]$ and $\langle e_7 \rangle$ is the unique pole of degree 4. The elements of $R^\uparrow(H)$ are the lines $[x, y] \subset P(H)$ such that:
$$\begin{aligned} |x, y|_{2,3} + \lambda |x, y|_{5,6} &= 0 \text{ and } |x, y|_{3,5} = |x, y|_{2,6} \text{ when } r = 1; \\ \lambda |x, y|_{2,3} + |x, y|_{2,6} + |x, y|_{3,5} &= 0 \text{ and } |x, y|_{2,3} = |x, y|_{5,6} \text{ for } r = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. All of the above can be proved using the technique sketched in the proof of Theorem 3.16, based on the investigation of $\ker(\tilde{h})$, combined with the following remark, more suited to an investigation of the poles of H . Given a non-zero vector $a \in V$, consider the degenerate alternating bilinear form $h_a(x, y) := h(a, x, y) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. Then, the lines of $R^\dagger(H)$ through $\langle a \rangle$ are the 2-spaces $\langle a, x \rangle$ contained in $\text{Rad}(h_a)$. We omit the details of these computations, referring the reader to [4] for them. Descriptions of $P(H)$ are also given by Cohen and Helminck [5] for all cases of Theorem 3.17 except the last one. Observe that in all cases $[P(H)]$ consists of the points of some (possibly degenerate) quadric in $\text{PG}(V)$; this is consistent with [10, Theorem 3.2]. \square

Corollary 3.18. *Let $n = 7$ and assume that \mathbb{K} belongs to $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ and is perfect. Then $R^\dagger(H) \neq \emptyset$ for every hyperplane H of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$.*

Proof. Let $h \in (\bigwedge^3 V)^*$ be such that $H_h = H$. As $R^\dagger(H) \supseteq G_2(p)$ for every $p \in R_\downarrow(H)$, if $R_\downarrow(H) \neq \emptyset$ then $R^\dagger(H) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $R_\downarrow(H) = \emptyset$, namely $\text{rk}(h) = 7$. Then h satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.17, by [5]. Recall that $R^\dagger(H) = \emptyset$ if and only if $P(H) = \emptyset$. However $P(H) \neq \emptyset$ in each of the cases examined in Theorem 3.17. Hence $R^\dagger(H) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Henceforth, in view of the description given for $\mathcal{P}(H)$ in Theorem 3.17, we call a hyperplane $H = H_h$ of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ with h of type T_9 , *hexagonal*.

Corollary 3.19. *Let $n = 7$ and assume that $\mathbb{K} \in \mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ is perfect. Let H be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. Then $R^\dagger(H)$ contains no singular plane of the point-line geometry $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ if and only if H is hexagonal.*

Proof. We know that $R^\dagger(H)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ (Proposition 2.1). This subspace contains at least a singular plane of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ if and only if either H admits at least one pole of degree 4 or 6 or the geometry of poles $\mathcal{P}(H)$ contains at least one proper triangle, namely a non-collinear triple of pairwise collinear points. Indeed, the set of lines of $\text{PG}(V)$ through a pole of degree 6 is a maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$ while the lines of $\mathcal{P}(H)$ through a pole of degree 4 form a singular subspace of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ of rank 4. On the other hand, the three sides of a triangle of $\mathcal{P}(H)$, regarded as points of $\mathcal{G}(V)$, span a singular plane of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$.

Let $h \in (\bigwedge^3 V)^*$ be such that $H = H_h$. As $R_\downarrow(H)$ is the set of poles of H of degree 6, if $\text{rk}(h) < 7$ then $P(H)$ contains at least one maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$. Suppose now $\text{rk}(h) = 7$; then h satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.17. In each of the cases considered in Theorem 3.17 except T_9 , the hyperplane H admits at least one pole of degree 4. In case T_9 all poles have degree 2 and $\mathcal{P}(H)$, being a generalized hexagon, contains no triangles. Thus, in this case $R^\dagger(H)$ contains no singular plane of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.6

Throughout this section \mathbb{K} is a perfect field in the class $\mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$, $\dim(V) = 8$ and H is a given hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$. Moreover, h is a linear functional of $\bigwedge^3 V$ associated to H , namely $H = \varepsilon_3^{-1}([\ker(h)])$. (Recall that h is uniquely determined by H up to a scalar). In the first part of this section, without assuming that \mathbb{K} satisfies $(*)$ of Theorem 1.6, we prove some properties of h under the assumption that $R^\dagger(H)$ is a spread. In the second part of the section we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.6 by showing that hypothesis $(*)$ of Theorem 1.6 contradicts what we have proved in the first part.

4.1 Preliminary results

Let $V' \leq V$ be a subspace. As stated in Subsection 2.1, if $\dim(V') > 3$ then $H(V') = G_3(V') \cap H$ is the set of members of H contained in V' . Clearly, either $H(V') = G_3(V')$ or $H(V')$ is a hyperplane of $G_3(V')$.

We recall that, according to a definition stated in § 3.3.4, given a 7-dimensional vector space V' , the hexagonal hyperplanes of $G_3(V')$ are those of type T_9 ; accordingly, the set of poles of a hexagonal hyperplane is a non-singular quadric while its geometry of poles is a split Cayley hexagon (see case 5 of Theorem 3.17).

Lemma 4.1. *The upper radical $R^\dagger(H)$ is a spread if and only if $H(V')$ is a hexagonal hyperplane of $G_3(V')$, for every hyperplane V' of V .*

Proof. Suppose firstly that $R^\dagger(H)$ is not a spread. Then H admits a pole p of degree $r(p) \geq 3$. Let V' be a hyperplane of V containing p and such that $[V'/p] \cap R_p(H)$ has rank at least 3. Such a hyperplane certainly exists. Indeed if $R_p(H) \neq [V/p]$ any hyperplane $V' < V$ containing p and such that $[V'/p] \supseteq R_p(H)$ has the required property; otherwise any hyperplane of V containing p does the job. Clearly, $R_p(H) \cap [V'/p] \subseteq R_p(H(V'))$. Hence, either $H(V') = G_3(V')$ or $H(V')$ is a hyperplane of $G_3(V')$ admitting p as a pole of degree greater than 2 (whence, at least 4). However, all poles of a hexagonal hyperplane have degree 2 (see Theorem 3.17, case (5)). Therefore, $H(V')$ cannot be a hexagonal hyperplane.

Conversely, assume that $R^\dagger(H)$ is a spread and let V' be a hyperplane of V . If $H(V')$ is not a hyperplane of $G_3(V')$ then $G_3(V') \subseteq H$. Given $p \in [V']$, the quotient space $[V'/p]$ is a singular subspace of the polar space $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ of rank 6. However, the radical of $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ has rank 1, by assumption. Hence the singular subspaces of $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ have rank at most 4 — a contradiction. It follows that $H(V')$ is a hyperplane of $G_3(V')$.

Let now $p \in [V']$ and let r be its degree with respect to the hyperplane $H(V')$. Then r is the rank of the radical $R_p(H(V'))$ of the polar space $\mathcal{S}_p(H(V'))$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{S}_p(H(V'))$ is the polar space induced by $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ on V'/p . As, by assumption, the radical $R_p(H)$ of $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ is a point of $[V/p]$, the radical $R_p(H(V'))$ of $\mathcal{S}_p(H(V'))$ is either empty or a line of $\text{PG}(V'/p)$, namely either $r = 0$ or $r = 2$. Thus we have proved that all poles of $H(V')$ have degree 2. It follows that the hyperplane $H(V')$ is hexagonal (compare with Theorem 3.17 or the proof of Corollary 3.19). \square

Henceforth we assume that $R^\dagger(H)$ is a line-spread of $\text{PG}(V)$. We put $\Sigma := R^\dagger(H)$ for short and, for a point $p \in \text{PG}(V)$, we denote by ℓ_p the unique line of Σ containing p . For a subspace $V' \leq V$, we put $\Sigma(V') := \{\ell \in \Sigma : \ell \subseteq [V']\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $\Sigma = R^\dagger(H)$ is a spread and let V' be a hyperplane of V . Then $\ell_p \in \Sigma(V')$ for every pole p of $H(V')$.*

Proof. Let p be a pole of $H(V')$ and, by way of contradiction, suppose that $\ell_p \not\subseteq [V']$. As p is a pole of $H(V')$, we have $p \in \ell'$ for some line $\ell' \in R^\dagger(H(V'))$. Necessarily, $\ell' \neq \ell_p$, since $\ell_p \not\subseteq [V']$. As $\ell' \in R^\dagger(H(V'))$, every line $m \subseteq [V']$ through p is collinear with ℓ' in $\mathcal{S}_p(H(V'))$. Hence m is collinear with ℓ' also in $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$, since $H(V') \subseteq H$ and the members of $H(V')$ (respectively H) through p are the lines of $\mathcal{S}_p(H(V'))$ (respectively $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$). It follows that the orthogonal space ℓ'^\perp of ℓ' in $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ contains $[V'/p]$. However, ℓ_p and ℓ' are orthogonal in $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$, since ℓ_p is the radical $R_p(H)$ of $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$. Therefore $\ell'^\perp = [V'/p]$, namely $\ell' \in R_p(H)$. However $R_p(H) = \{\ell_p\}$. Hence $\ell' = \ell_p$. A contradiction has been reached. \square

Note 9. No use of the hypothesis that $\mathbb{K} \in \mathbf{Cd}\{0, 1\}$ and \mathbb{K} is perfect is made in the proof of Lemma 4.2 while that hypothesis is exploited in the proof of Lemma 4.1 only to claim that if all

poles of $H(V')$ have degree 2 then $H(V')$ is hexagonal. If we renounce that hypothesis then we can still prove the following weaker version of Lemma 4.1: $R^\uparrow(H)$ is a spread if and only if all poles of $H(V')$, if any, have degree 2, for every hyperplane V' of V .

Note 10. In view of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.1, when $\Sigma = R^\uparrow(H)$ is a spread, the set $\Sigma(V')$ is a distance-2 spread of the generalized hexagon $\mathcal{P}(H(V'))$. These objects are expected to be very rare. The only examples discovered so far are defined over the field \mathbb{F}_3 , see [7].

We now turn to the linear functional $h \in (\bigwedge^3 V)^*$ associated to H .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $R^\uparrow(H)$ be a spread. Then, for a suitable choice of the basis $E = (e_i)_{i=1}^8$ of V and, possibly, up to rescaling h , there exists a family $\{a_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq 6} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ of scalars such that*

$$h = \underline{123} + \underline{456} + \underline{147} + \underline{257} + \underline{367} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 6} a_{ij} \cdot \underline{ij8} \quad (9)$$

and $A := \begin{pmatrix} a_{14} & a_{15} & a_{16} \\ a_{24} & a_{25} & a_{26} \\ a_{34} & a_{35} & a_{36} \end{pmatrix}$ has no eigenvalue in \mathbb{K} .

Proof. Let V_∞ be a hyperplane of V . In view of Lemma 4.1, the linear functional h_∞ induced by h on $\bigwedge^3 V_\infty$ is of type T_9 . Hence, modulo rescaling h , if necessary, we can choose a basis $E_\infty = (e_i)_{i=1}^7$ of V_∞ such that $h_\infty = \underline{123} + \underline{456} + \underline{147} + \underline{257} + \underline{367}$ (see Table 1). We can extend E_∞ to a basis $E = (e_i)_{i=1}^8$ of V . With respect to E , the linear functional h admits the following representation:

$$h = \underline{123} + \underline{456} + \underline{147} + \underline{257} + \underline{367} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 7} a_{ij} \cdot \underline{ij8}. \quad (10)$$

It remains to prove that we can choose e_8 in such a way that $a_{i7} = 0$ for every $i < 7$ and the matrix $A = (a_{i,3+j})_{i,j=1}^3$ admits no eigenvalue in \mathbb{K} .

According to the information given in case 5) of Theorem 3.17, the point-set $Q_\infty := P(H(V_\infty))$ of the geometry of poles of $H(V_\infty)$ is the quadric of $\text{PG}(V_\infty)$ described by the following equation: $x_7^2 = x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_6$.

Put $p_7 := [e_7]$ and let $\ell_7 := \ell_{p_7}$ be the line of $\Sigma := R^\uparrow(H)$ through p_7 . Since $p_7 \notin Q_\infty$, the point p_7 is not a pole of $H(V_\infty)$; hence, ℓ_7 is not contained in $\text{PG}(V_\infty)$. We can assume to have chosen e_8 in such a way that $[e_8] \in \ell_7 \setminus \{p_7\}$. With this choice of e_8 we have $\langle e_7, e_8 \rangle \in \Sigma$, hence $h(e_i \wedge e_7 \wedge e_8) = 0$ for any $i < 7$, namely $a_{i7} = 0$ in (10) for every $i < 7$. The last claim remaining to be proved is that A admits no eigenvalue in \mathbb{K} .

For any $t \in \mathbb{K}$, put $V_t := W \oplus \langle te_7 + e_8 \rangle$, where $W := \langle e_i \rangle_{i=1}^6$. Then $\{V_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}}$ is the family of the hyperplanes of V through W . By Lemma 4.1 and the information given at case 5) of Theorem 3.17, the point-set $Q_t := P(H(V_t))$ of the geometry of poles of $H(V_t)$ is a non-degenerate quadric. Put $Q_{t,W} := Q_t \cap [W]$.

Claim ()*. *With respect to the basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^6$ of W , the quadric $Q_{t,W}$ is described by the following equation:*

$$(x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3) A_t \begin{pmatrix} x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (11)$$

where A_t is a suitable non-singular 3×3 matrix having no eigenvalue in \mathbb{K} for $t \neq \infty$ and $A_\infty = I$, where I is the identity matrix of order 3. Moreover,

$$Q_{t,W} \cap Q_{s,W} = \bigcup \{\ell : \ell \in \Sigma(W)\}, \quad \forall t, s \in \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}, \ t \neq s. \quad (12)$$

Proof of Claim (\star) . Let h_W be the linear functional induced by h on $\bigwedge^3 W$. Then $h_W = \underline{123} + \underline{456}$. As the planes $P := [e_1, e_2, e_3]$ and $P' := [e_4, e_5, e_6]$ are contained in Q_∞ , they are contained also in $Q_{\infty, W}$. By case 3) of Theorem 3.16, every line of $R^\uparrow(H(W))$ meets each of them in a point. Take $p \in P$. Then $\text{rk}(R_p(H(W))) = 3$. Consequently, the Plücker embedding $\varepsilon_{2, W} : \mathcal{G}_2(W) \rightarrow \text{PG}(\bigwedge^2 W)$ maps $R_p(H(W))$ onto a plane $\mathbb{R}_{p, W}$ of $\text{PG}(\bigwedge^2 W)$ contained in $[K(H(W))]$. By Proposition 2.5 with $t = 2$, we have $\dim(K(H(W))/(K(H) \cap K(H(W)))) \leq 2$. Consequently, $\mathbb{R}_{p, W} \cap [K(H)] \neq \emptyset$, namely $R_p(H(W)) \cap \varepsilon_2^{-1}([K(H)]) \neq \emptyset$. However $\varepsilon_2^{-1}([K(H)]) = \Sigma$ by (3) of Subsection 2.3 and Σ does not contain any line of $\mathcal{G}_2(V)$. It follows that $R_p(H(W)) \cap \Sigma = \{\ell_p\}$. By case (3) of Theorem 3.16, every line $\ell \in R^\uparrow(H(W))$ meets both P and P' . Therefore ℓ_p meets P' in a point. Thus, the clause $\alpha(p) := \ell_p \cap P'$ defines a bijection α from P to P' . We have $R^\uparrow(H(W)) = \Sigma(W) = \{\ell_p\}_{p \in P} = \{\ell_q\}_{q \in P'}$ and $\ell_p = \langle p, \alpha(p) \rangle$ for any $p \in P$.

Put $\Sigma_W := \bigcup_{p \in P} \ell_p = \bigcup \{\ell : \ell \in \Sigma(W)\}$ and consider a hyperplane $V_t \neq V_\infty$ of V containing W , say V_0 . By Lemma 4.2 the elements of $\Sigma(V_0)$ cover the quadric $Q_0 \subseteq [V_0]$. Similarly, Q_∞ is covered by the elements of $\Sigma(V_\infty)$. Clearly, $\Sigma_W \subseteq Q_{0, W} \cap Q_{\infty, W}$. We claim that $Q_{0, W} \cap Q_{\infty, W} = \Sigma_W$. Suppose the contrary and let $p \in (Q_{0, W} \cap Q_{\infty, W}) \setminus \Sigma_W$. The line ℓ_p is not contained in $[W]$ (since $p \notin \Sigma_W$) but it is contained in $[V_0 \cap V_\infty]$ (by Lemma 4.2). It follows that $V_0 = \langle W, \ell_p \rangle = V_\infty$. We have reached a contradiction. Therefore $Q_{0, W} \cap Q_{\infty, W} = \Sigma_W$. Similarly, $Q_{t, W} \cap Q_{s, W} = \Sigma_W$ for any choice of distinct indices $t, s \in \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$, as claimed in (12).

Let $t \in \mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$. As a by-product of (12), both planes $P = [e_1, e_2, e_3]$ and $P' = [e_4, e_5, e_6]$ are contained in $Q_{t, W}$. Hence $Q_{t, W}$ is described by the equation $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \cdot A_t \cdot (x_4, x_5, x_6)^T = 0$ for a suitable non-singular 3×3 matrix A_t , as claimed in (11). Moreover $A_\infty = I$, as Q_∞ is described by the equation $x_7^2 = x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_6$. It remains to prove that A_t has no eigenvalue in \mathbb{K} for any $t \in \mathbb{K}$. Given $t \in \mathbb{K}$ and $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$, let $p_a = [a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3] \in \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle$. It follows from (12) that the point $\alpha(p_a) = P' \cap \ell_{p_a}$, regarded as a 1-dimensional subspace of $\langle e_4, e_5, e_6 \rangle$, is the complete solution of the system $a^T A_t x = a^T A_\infty x = 0$, where $x = (x_4, x_5, x_6)$ stands for the triple of coordinates of a vector of $\langle e_4, e_5, e_6 \rangle$ with respect to the ordered basis (e_4, e_5, e_6) of $\langle e_4, e_5, e_6 \rangle$. Consequently, the system $a^T A_t x = a^T A_\infty x = 0$ has rank 2, for any choice of $a \in \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle \setminus \{0\}$. However $A_\infty = I$. Hence the system $a^T A_t x = a^T x = 0$ has rank 2 for any choice of $a \in \langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle \setminus \{0\}$. This is equivalent to say that A_t admits no eigenvalues in \mathbb{K} . Claim (\star) is proved.

We are now ready to prove that $A = (a_{i, 3+j})_{i, j=1}^3$ admits no eigenvalues in \mathbb{K} . We shall obtain this conclusion as consequence of Claim (\star) , by showing that A is proportional to a matrix A_0 associated to $Q_{0, W}$ as in (\star) .

Given a non-zero vector $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, u_8) \in V_0 = W \oplus \langle e_8 \rangle$, let $p := \langle u \rangle$ and denote by π_p the canonical projection of V_0 onto V_0/p and by $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p(H(V_0)) = \pi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_p(H(V_0)))$ the pre-image in V_0 of $\mathcal{S}_p(H(V_0))$ by π_p . Then $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p(H(V_0))$ is a polar space of symplectic type. It is necessarily degenerate, as p belongs to its radical. The antisymmetric matrix representing $\overline{\mathcal{S}}_p(H(V_0))$ with respect to the basis $E_0 := E \setminus \{e_7\}$ of V_0 is $M_0(u) := T_0(u) - T_0(u)^T$ where $T_0(u)$ is the following upper triangular matrix:

$$T_0(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_3 + a_{12}u_8 & -u_2 + a_{13}u_8 & a_{14}u_8 & a_{15}u_8 & a_{16}u_8 & b_1 \\ & 0 & u_1 + a_{23}u_8 & a_{24}u_8 & a_{25}u_8 & a_{26}u_8 & b_2 \\ & & 0 & a_{34}u_8 & a_{35}u_8 & a_{36}u_8 & b_3 \\ & & & 0 & u_6 + a_{45}u_8 & -u_5 + a_{46}u_8 & b_4 \\ & & & & 0 & a_{56}u_8 + u_4 & b_5 \\ & & & & & 0 & b_6 \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -a_{12}u_2 - a_{13}u_3 - a_{14}u_4 - a_{15}u_5 - a_{16}u_6, & b_2 &= a_{12}u_1 - a_{23}u_3 - a_{24}u_4 - a_{25}u_5 - a_{26}u_6, \\ b_3 &= a_{13}u_1 + a_{23}u_2 - a_{34}u_4 - a_{35}u_5 - a_{36}u_6, & b_4 &= a_{14}u_1 + a_{24}u_2 + a_{34}u_3 - a_{45}u_5 - a_{46}u_6, \\ b_5 &= a_{15}u_1 + a_{25}u_2 + a_{35}u_3 + a_{45}u_4 - a_{56}u_6, & b_6 &= a_{16}u_1 + a_{26}u_2 + a_{36}u_3 + a_{46}u_4 + a_{56}u_5. \end{aligned}$$

For $\bar{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6, 0)$, let C_1, \dots, C_7 and $R_1 = -C_1^T, \dots, R_7 = -C_7^T$ be the columns and the rows of $M_0(\bar{u})$. By adding the linear combination $-a_{23}C_1 + a_{13}C_2 - a_{12}C_3 - a_{56}C_4 + a_{46}C_5 - a_{45}C_6$ to C_7 and the linear combination $-a_{23}R_1 + a_{13}R_2 - a_{12}R_3 - a_{56}R_4 + a_{46}R_5 - a_{45}R_6$ to R_7 we obtain the following matrix:

$$M'_0(\bar{u}) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc|c} 0 & u_3 & -u_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -A \\ A^T & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ -u_3 & 0 & u_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ u_2 & -u_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u_6 & -u_5 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -u_6 & 0 & u_4 & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_5 & -u_4 & 0 & \\ \hline (\underline{u}_1^T, \underline{u}_2^T) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A \\ A^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where $\underline{u}_1 = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T$, $\underline{u}_2 = (u_4, u_5, u_6)^T$ and $A = (a_{i,3+j})_{i,j=1}^3$. Clearly, $M'_0(\bar{u})$ and $M_0(\bar{u})$ have the same rank. Assuming that $\bar{u} \neq 0$, let $\bar{p} = \langle \bar{u} \rangle$. We have $\bar{p} \in Q_{0,W}$ if and only if $\text{rk}(R_{\bar{p}}(H(W))) = 2$. The latter is equivalent to $\text{rk}(M_0(\bar{u})) = 4$, which in its turn is equivalent to $\text{rk}(M'_0(\bar{u})) = 4$. It is straightforward to check that $\text{rk}(M'_0(\bar{u})) = 4$ whenever $\underline{u}_1^T A \underline{u}_2 = 0$. Hence the equation $\underline{u}_1^T A \underline{u}_2 = 0$ implies $\bar{p} \in Q_{0,W}$, whence it implies $\underline{u}_1^T A_0 \underline{u}_2 = 0$ with A_0 as in (\star) . It follows that $A_0 = \lambda A$ for a scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. On the other hand, A_0 is not the null matrix. Therefore $\lambda \neq 0$. The proof is complete. \square

The next lemma is useful to save some computing time when performing calculations with h .

Lemma 4.4. *The basis $E = (e_i)_{i=1}^8$ of V considered in Lemma 4.3 can be chosen in such a way as to guarantee that at least four of the entries of matrix A are null. In particular, we can force $a_{25} = a_{26} = a_{34} = a_{36} = 0$.*

Proof. Given $E = (e_i)_{i=1}^8$ as in Lemma 4.3 and a 3×3 matrix C with determinant $\det(C) = 1$, consider the basis $E' = (e'_i)_{i=1}^8$ defined as follows:

$$(e'_1, \dots, e'_8) = (e_1, \dots, e_8) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} C & O & O \\ O & C^{-T} & O \\ O & O & I \end{pmatrix}$$

where O stands for a suitable null matrix and I is the identity matrix of order 2. Let (e'^1, \dots, e'^8) be the basis of V^* dual of E' . It is straightforward to check that h admits the following expression with respect to E' :

$$h = \underline{123} + \underline{456} + \underline{147} + \underline{257} + \underline{367} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 6} b_{ij} \cdot \underline{ij8}$$

where the symbol \underline{ijk} stands now for $e'^i \wedge e'^j \wedge e'^k$, the coefficients b_{ij} are as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{14} & b_{15} & b_{16} \\ b_{24} & b_{25} & b_{26} \\ b_{34} & b_{35} & b_{36} \end{pmatrix} = C^T A C^{-T},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{T}(C^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} C),$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_{45} & b_{46} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{56} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{T}(C^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{45} & a_{46} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{56} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} C^{-T})$$

and $\mathfrak{T}(X)$ is defined for a 3×3 matrix $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3$ as

$$\mathfrak{T}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{12} - x_{21} & x_{13} - x_{31} \\ 0 & 0 & x_{23} - x_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall prove that we can always choose C in such a way as $b_{25} = b_{26} = b_{34} = b_{36} = 0$. Note firstly that at least one of a_{16} and a_{26} is different from 0; otherwise a_{36} would be an eigenvalue of A , while A admits no eigenvalues. Modulo replacing e_1 with $-e_2$, e_2 with e_1 and e_4 with $-e_5$, e_5 with e_4 , if necessary, we can assume $a_{16} \neq 0$. Thus, we can consider the following matrix:

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -a_{26}/a_{16} & 1 & 0 \\ -a_{36}/a_{16} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $A' := U_1 A U_1^{-1}$ has the following form for suitable entries a'_{ij} :

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a'_{14} & a'_{15} & a_{16} \\ a'_{24} & a'_{25} & 0 \\ a'_{34} & a'_{35} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly A' has no eigenvalues, since A' and A are similar and A has no eigenvalues by assumption. Therefore $a'_{24} \neq 0$. (otherwise a'_{25} would be an eigenvalue of A'). So, we can consider the following matrix:

$$U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a'_{25}/a'_{24} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -a'_{34}/a'_{24} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $U_2 A' U_2^{-1} = U_2 U_1 A U_1^{-1} U_2^{-1}$ has the following form:

$$U_2 A' U_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{14} & b_{15} & b_{16} \\ b_{24} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{35} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, if we choose $C = (U_2 U_1)^T$ then we get $b_{25} = b_{26} = b_{34} = b_{36} = 0$, as we wished. \square

Note 11. Needless to say, with a different choice of U_1 and U_2 we can force a different quadruple of coefficients b_{ij} to be null. For instance, if we replace a'_{25}/a'_{24} with $-a'_{14}/a'_{24}$ in U_2 then we get $b_{14} = 0$ instead of $b_{25} = 0$.

4.2 End of the proof.

Let $R^\dagger(H)$ be a spread. Then, by Lemma 4.1, any hyperplane $H(V')$ of $\mathcal{G}_3(V)$ is hexagonal, for every hyperplane V' of V . By the classification of Theorem 3.17, the set of poles $P(H(V'))$ determines a non-degenerate quadric $\mathcal{Q}(V')$.

We shall first show that the discriminant of the quadric $\mathcal{Q}(V')$, where V' is an arbitrary hyperplane of V , can be written as the cube of a homogeneous polynomial.

More precisely, we will show the following. Let V' have equation $c_1x_1 + \cdots + c_8x_8 = 0$ with respect to the a basis of V as in Lemma 4.4. Then, the discriminant of $\mathcal{Q}(V')$ is the cube of a homogeneous polynomial $\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_8)$ of degree 3 in the unknowns c_i , $1 \leq i \leq 8$. So, if \mathbb{K} satisfies the hypothesis $(*)$ of Theorem 1.6, then $\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_8)$ always admits at least one non-trivial solution.

Consider first the case $c_1 \neq 0$; then we can rewrite the equation of V' as $x_1 = b_2x_2 + \cdots + b_8x_8$ with $b_i = -c_i/c_1$, $2 \leq i \leq 8$. Since in $(V')^*$ we have $e^1 = b_2e^2 + \cdots + b_8e^8$, the form induced by $H(V')$ on V' can be written by formally replacing $\underline{1}$ with $b_2\underline{2} + \cdots + b_8\underline{8}$ in (9). Denote this new form by h^1 .

For any $u = (u_i)_{i=1}^8 \in V'$ with $u_8 \neq 0$, the 8×8 matrix $M_{V'}^1(u)$ representing the alternating bilinear form $h_{H, \langle u \rangle}^1$ (which, restricted to $V' \times V'$, is the same as h_u , see Section 2.4) has rank at most 6 and its last row/column is a linear combination of the remaining ones. Also, by construction, the first row and column of $M_{V'}^1(u)$ are null. Thus, the matrix $M_{V'}^1(u)$ has rank 6 if and only if the minor $\overline{M}_{V'}^1(u)$ obtained by deleting the first and last rows and columns has non-zero determinant.

It is straightforward to see that

$$\det \overline{M}_{V'}^1(u) = (\tilde{q}_{b_2, \dots, b_8}(u_2, \dots, u_7))^2$$

with $\tilde{q}_{b_2, \dots, b_8}(u_2, \dots, u_7)$ a suitable quadratic polynomial. By homogenizing the polynomial $\tilde{q}_{b_2, \dots, b_8}(u_2, \dots, u_7)$ in u_8 we obtain a quadratic form $q_{b_2, \dots, b_8}(u_2, \dots, u_8)$ representing the quadric $\mathcal{Q}(V') := P(H(V'))$. More precisely, the points of $\mathcal{Q}(V')$ are the points $P = [b_2u_2 + \cdots + b_8u_8, u_2, \dots, u_8]$ where $[u_2, \dots, u_8]$ is totally singular for q_{b_2, \dots, b_8} . The discriminant of $\mathcal{Q}(V')$ is zero if, and only if, the discriminant of q_{b_2, \dots, b_8} is zero.

Let $\widetilde{\Xi}^1(b_2, \dots, b_8)$ be the discriminant of q_{b_2, \dots, b_8} . A straightforward, yet long, computation using [16], see Appendix A, proves that $\widetilde{\Xi}^1(b_2, \dots, b_8)$ can be written as the cube of the polynomial $\widetilde{\Delta}^1 = \Delta(1, b_2, \dots, b_8)$, where Δ is the polynomial of degree 3 written in Appendix A with $c_1 = 1$ and c_2, \dots, c_8 replaced by b_2, \dots, b_8 . Let now $\Delta^1(c_1, \dots, c_8)$ be the homogenization of $\widetilde{\Delta}^1$ where $c_i = b_i c_1$ for $2 \leq i \leq 8$.

The above computations show that the points of $\text{PG}(V^*)$ representing the hyperplanes V' of V with $c_1 \neq 0$ where the quadric $\mathcal{Q}(V')$ is singular all lie on a cubic hypersurface Γ^1 of $\text{PG}(V^*)$.

Acting in an analogous way for every component x_i with $2 \leq i \leq 7$, we eventually determine a set of 7 polynomials $\{\Delta^i(c_1, \dots, c_8)\}_{i=1}^7$ and corresponding hypersurfaces Γ^i . Direct computations, performed as before, show that for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$, the polynomials Δ^i are the same; hence they have the same set of zeroes. It follows that $\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_8) = 0$ if, and only if, $H(V')$ is not hexagonal (V' having equation $\sum_{i=1}^8 c_i x_i = 0$)— with the possible exception of the hyperplane $V_\infty : x_8 = 0$ which has not yet been taken into account. However, $H(V_\infty)$ is, by the construction in Lemma 4.3, hexagonal and it is immediate to see that $\Delta(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) = -1 \neq 0$.

If the field \mathbb{K} is quasi-algebraically closed or, more in general, assumption $(*)$ holds, then there exists at least one $(c_1, \dots, c_8) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ with $c_i \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_8) = 0$. It follows now from Lemma 4.1 that there exists a hyperplane V' of V such that $H(V')$ is not hexagonal; consequently $R^\dagger(H)$ cannot be a spread.

The theorem is proved. \square

Note 12. We can provide also a syntetic proof that the polynomials Δ^i have the same set of zeroes for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$. Indeed, observe that the polynomial functions $\widetilde{\Xi}^1$ formally represents

the discriminant of the quadric q_{b_2, \dots, b_8} even when the coefficients b_2, \dots, b_8 identifying the hyperplane are taken over the algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ of \mathbb{K} . As such, each Δ^i is a polynomial function with coefficients in \mathbb{K} representing an algebraic hypersurface Γ^i of degree 3 in $\text{PG}(V^* \otimes \overline{\mathbb{K}})$.

Observe that for $i > 1$, the hypersurface Γ^i has in common with Γ^1 at least the points of the set $\Gamma^i \setminus [x_1 x_i = 0]$ which is an open set in the Zariski topology in Γ^1 . In particular, as $\Gamma^i \cap \Gamma^1$ is closed in Γ^1 , Γ^1 must be contained in Γ^i . As $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is algebraically closed, this implies that Δ^1 (as polynomial) must divide Δ^i . Thus, each zero of Δ^1 is also a zero of Δ^i . This applies, in particular, to the zeroes of Δ^i defined over \mathbb{K} .

Note 13. It is possible to consider the homogeneization $\Xi^1(c_1, \dots, c_8)$ of the polynomial $\widetilde{\Xi}^1(b_2, \dots, b_8)$ directly. Our computations prove that in this case

$$\Xi^i(c_1, \dots, c_8) = (\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_8))^3 \cdot c_i^{12}. \quad (13)$$

We believe that this expression is not fortuitous and it would be very interesting to investigate the geometrical reason behind such factorization. In any case, we remark that (13) by itself makes sense only for $c_i \neq 0$, as for $c_i = 0$ the argument on the rank of the matrix does not stand.

Note 14. The use of Lemma 4.4 provides a massive simplification in the computations leading to the polynomial $\Delta(u_1, \dots, u_8)$, with a reduction in the memory and time involved of approximately 10 times. However, we have been able also to obtain the conclusion of Section 4.2 using the generic form for the matrix A as provided by (9) without any simplification in the coefficients. We choose none the less to introduce here the more specialized form for this matrix as in Lemma 4.4, in order to be able to present a simpler polynomial in Appendix A and also to make easier to directly check the result.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.7

Let $n = \dim(V)$ be even and let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field of order q . Let ψ be the number of point-plane flags $(p, [X])$ of $\text{PG}(V)$ with $X \in H$ and $p \in [X]$. As $[X]$ with $X \in H$ is a projective plane of order q , we have $\psi = (q^2 + q + 1)|H|$. If $R^\uparrow(H)$ is a spread, then $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ is a symplectic polar space of non-degenerate rank $r := (n-2)/2$ with $\dim(R_p(H)) = 1$ for any point $p \in \text{PG}(V)$. As the lines of $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$ correspond to elements of H through p , we see that $\psi = M \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$, where

$$M = \frac{q^{2r} - 1}{q - 1} \left(1 + q^2 \frac{q^{2r-2} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right) \quad (14)$$

is the number of lines of the symplectic polar space $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$. So,

$$q^2 + q + 1 = \frac{q^3 - 1}{q - 1} \text{ divides } M \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}. \quad (15)$$

By (14),

$$M \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = \frac{q^{2r+2} - 1}{q - 1} \frac{q^{2r} - 1}{q - 1} \left(1 + q^2 \frac{q^{2r-2} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right). \quad (16)$$

It is well known that $(q^i - 1)$ divides $(q^j - 1)$ if and only if i divides j . In particular, if either $2r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, that is $r \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, or $r \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ the divisibility condition (15) is fulfilled. On the other hand, suppose now $r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then,

$$\frac{q^{2r+2} - 1}{q - 1} = q^{2r+1} + q^{2r} + q^{2r-1} + \dots + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{q^2 + q + 1}, \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{q^{2r} - 1}{q - 1} = q^{2r-1} + q^{2r-2} + \dots + 1 \equiv q + 1 \pmod{q^2 + q + 1}. \quad (18)$$

Reducing (16) modulus $q^2 + q + 1$, we get

$$M \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} = (q + 1) + (q + 1)q^2 \frac{q^{2r-2} - 1}{q - 1} \equiv q + 1 \pmod{q^2 + q + 1}. \quad (19)$$

However (19) contradicts (15), since $q + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q^2 + q + 1}$. Therefore, if $r \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $R^\dagger(H)$ cannot be a spread. Theorem 1.7 is proved.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Algèbre. Chapitres 1 à 3*, Hermann, Paris, 1970 (French).
- [2] R. B. Brown and A. Gray, *Vector cross products*, Comment. Math. Helv. **42** (1967), 222–236.
- [3] F. Buekenhout and A. M. Cohen, *Diagram geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 57, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.
- [4] I. Cardinali and L. Giuzzi, *Geometries arising from trilinear forms on low-dimensional vector spaces*, in preparation.
- [5] A. M. Cohen and A. G. Helminck, *Trilinear alternating forms on a vector space of dimension 7*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), no. 1, 1–25, DOI 10.1080/00927878808823558.
- [6] B. N. Cooperstein, *External flats to varieties in $\text{PG}(\wedge^2(V))$ over finite fields*, Geom. Dedicata **69** (1998), no. 3, 223–235, DOI 10.1023/A:1005053409486.
- [7] A. De Wispelaere and H. Van Maldeghem, *A distance-2-spread of the generalized hexagon $H(3)$* , Ann. Comb. **8** (2004), no. 2, 133–154, DOI 10.1007/s00026-004-0211-9.
- [8] D. Ž. Djoković, *Classification of trivectors of an eight-dimensional real vector space*, Lin. Multilin. Alg. **13** (1988), 3–39.
- [9] I. V. Dolgachev, *Classical algebraic geometry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [10] J. Draisma and R. Shaw, *Singular lines of trilinear forms*, Linear Algebra Appl. **433** (2010), no. 3, 690–697, DOI 10.1016/j.laa.2010.03.040.
- [11] ———, *Some noteworthy alternating trilinear forms*, J. Geom. **105** (2014), no. 1, 167–176, DOI 10.1007/s00022-013-0202-2.
- [12] P. Gille and T. Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 101, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [13] G. B. Gurevich, *Foundations of the theory of algebraic invariants*, Translated by J. R. M. Radok and A. J. M. Spencer, P. Noordhoff Ltd., Groningen, 1964.
- [14] J. I. Hall and E. E. Shult, *Geometric hyperplanes of nonembeddable Grassmannians.*, European J. Combin. **14** (1993), no. 1, 29–35.
- [15] J. Harris, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 133, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [16] Richard D. Jenks and Robert S. Sutor, *AXIOM*, Numerical Algorithms Group, Ltd., Oxford; Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [17] P. Lounesto, *Clifford algebras and spinors*, 2nd ed., London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 286, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [18] P. Revoy, *Trivecteurs de rang 6*, Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém. **59** (1979), 141–155 (French).
- [19] J. Serre, *Galois cohomology*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [20] E. E. Shult, *Geometric hyperplanes of embeddable Grassmannians.*, J. Algebra **145** (1992), no. 1, 55–82.
- [21] ———, *Points and lines*, Universitext, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [22] H. Van Maldeghem, *Generalized polygons*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 93, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998.
- [23] R. Westwick, *Real trivectors of rank seven*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **10** (1981), no. 3, 183–204, DOI 10.1080/03081088108817411.

Authors' addresses:

Ilaria Cardinali and Antonio Pasini
Department of Information Engineering and
Mathematics
University of Siena
Via Roma 56, I-53100, Siena, Italy
ilaria.cardinali@unisi.it
antonio.pasini@unisi.it

Luca Giuzzi
D.I.C.A.T.A.M.
Section of Mathematics
Università di Brescia
Via Branze 53, I-25123, Brescia, Italy
luca.giuzzi@unibs.it

A The polynomial $\Delta(u_1, \dots, u_8)$

We present in detail the outcome of the computation of the polynomial $\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_8)$ of Section 4. This result has been obtained by a straightforward implementation of the procedure outlined in Lemma 4.2 using the computer algebra system [16]. The actual computation, in particular factoring the discriminant of the quadrics, took, for each polynomial being considered, slightly more than 3 hours on a multiprocessor XEON E7540 machine and has necessitated a maximum of approximately 22 Gb of RAM.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(c_1, \dots, c_8) := & (-a_{23}a_{24}a_{45} + a_{24}^2a_{35})c_1^3 + ((-a_{23}a_{35}a_{46} + ((a_{13}a_{24} + a_{14}a_{23})a_{45} - 2a_{14}a_{24}a_{35}))c_2 + ((a_{23}a_{24}a_{56} + \\
& (a_{14}a_{23}a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{24}a_{45} - a_{15}a_{24}^2))c_3 + ((-a_{24}a_{45}a_{56} + (a_{35}a_{46}^2 - a_{13}a_{23}a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{23}a_{45} + (2a_{12}a_{24}a_{35} + \\
& a_{15}a_{23}a_{24}))))c_4 + (((-a_{23}a_{46} + (a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23}a_{24}))c_5 + ((a_{23}a_{45} - a_{23}a_{24}a_{35})c_6 + ((a_{24}a_{35}a_{46} + (-a_{13}a_{23}a_{24} + \\
& a_{14}a_{23}^2))c_7 + (a_{24}a_{45} - a_{23}^2)c_8))))c_1^2 + ((-a_{23}a_{35}a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{35}a_{46} + ((a_{15}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{14})a_{45} + (-a_{15}a_{24} + a_{14}^2a_{35}))))c_2^2 + \\
& ((-a_{13}a_{24}a_{56} + ((-a_{12}a_{35} + (a_{15}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{14}))a_{46} + ((a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14})a_{45} + (-3a_{16}a_{24}a_{35} + a_{14}a_{15}a_{24}))))c_3 + \\
& (((a_{35}a_{46} + (a_{14}a_{45} - a_{13}a_{23}))a_{56} + ((a_{13}^2 - a_{15}a_{45})a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{45}^2 + a_{12}a_{13}a_{45} + ((a_{16}a_{23} - 2a_{12}a_{14})a_{35} - a_{14}a_{15}a_{23}))))c_4 + \\
& (((-a_{24}a_{45} - a_{23}^2)a_{56} + (a_{35}a_{46}^2 + a_{13}a_{23}a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{23}a_{45} + (a_{12}a_{24}a_{35} + (-a_{13}a_{14}a_{24} + a_{14}^2a_{23}))))c_5 + \\
& ((2a_{13}a_{24}a_{35} - 2a_{13}a_{23}a_{45})c_6 + ((a_{24}a_{35}a_{56} + (-a_{14}a_{35}a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{23}a_{35} + (a_{13}a_{24} + (a_{15}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_7 + \\
& (a_{35}a_{46} + (2a_{13}a_{23} - a_{14}a_{45}))c_8))))c_2 + ((a_{12}a_{24}a_{56} + ((a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14})a_{46} + a_{14}a_{16}a_{24}))c_3 + \\
& ((a_{24}a_{56}^2 + (a_{14}a_{46} + a_{12}a_{23})a_{56} + (-a_{15}a_{46}^2 + (a_{16}a_{45} - a_{12}a_{13})a_{46} + (-a_{12}^2a_{45} + ((-a_{13}a_{16} - a_{12}a_{15})a_{24} - a_{14}a_{16}a_{23}))))c_4 + \\
& ((-2a_{12}a_{23}a_{46} - 2a_{16}a_{23}a_{24})c_5 + (((-a_{24}a_{45} - a_{23}^2)a_{56} + (a_{35}a_{46}^2 - a_{13}a_{23}a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{23}a_{45} + (a_{12}a_{24}a_{35} + ((2a_{15}a_{23} - \\
& a_{13}a_{14})a_{24} + a_{14}^2a_{23}))))c_6 + ((-a_{15}a_{24}a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{24}a_{45} + (-a_{12}a_{13}a_{24} + (a_{16}a_{23}^2 + a_{12}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_7 + \\
& (-a_{24}a_{56} + (-a_{14}a_{46} - 2a_{12}a_{23}))c_8))))c_3 + (((-a_{13}a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{45} + a_{15}a_{24}))a_{56} + (-2a_{16}a_{35}a_{46} + \\
& (a_{12}^2a_{35} + (a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{23}))c_4 + (((-a_{23}a_{46} - a_{14}a_{24})a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{46} + a_{12}a_{45}a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{24}a_{45} + (a_{12}a_{13}a_{24} + (a_{16}a_{23}^2 - \\
& a_{12}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_5 + (((a_{23}a_{45} + a_{24}a_{35})a_{56} + ((-a_{13}a_{45} + 2a_{14}a_{35})a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{45}^2 - a_{15}a_{24}a_{45} + (-a_{12}a_{23}a_{35} + \\
& (a_{13}a_{24} + (-a_{15}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_6 + (((-a_{13}a_{24} + 2a_{14}a_{23})a_{56} + ((-a_{12}a_{35} - a_{15}a_{23})a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{23}a_{45} - 3a_{16}a_{24}a_{35}))c_7 + \\
& (-2a_{23}a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{45} - a_{15}a_{24}))c_8))))c_4 + ((-a_{24}a_{56} + a_{23}a_{46}^2)c_5^2 + (2(a_{24}a_{35} - \\
& a_{23}a_{45})a_{46}c_6 + ((a_{23}a_{24}a_{56} + ((a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23})a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{24}a_{45} + a_{15}a_{24}^2))c_7 + (3a_{23}a_{46} + a_{14}a_{24}c_8))c_5 + ((a_{23}a_{45}^2 - \\
& a_{24}a_{35}a_{45})c_6^2 + ((a_{14}a_{23}a_{45} - a_{14}a_{24}a_{35})c_7 + (-3a_{23}a_{45} + 3a_{24}a_{35})c_8)c_6 + ((-a_{12}a_{24}a_{35} - a_{15}a_{23}a_{24})c_7^2 + (a_{13}a_{24} - \\
& 2a_{14}a_{23})c_8c_7 + 2a_{23}c_8^2))))c_1 + ((a_{13}a_{35}a_{56} + (-a_{13}a_{15}a_{45} + (a_{16}a_{45}^2 + a_{14}a_{15}a_{35})))c_2^3 + (((-a_{12}a_{35}a_{56} + (-a_{13}a_{15}a_{46} + \\
& ((-a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{45} + (a_{14}a_{16}a_{35} + a_{15}^2a_{24}))))c_3 + ((a_{13}^2a_{56} + ((a_{13}a_{16} - a_{12}a_{15})a_{35} - a_{15}^2a_{23}))c_4 + (((a_{35}a_{46} + \\
& (a_{14}a_{45} + a_{13}a_{23}))a_{56} + (-a_{15}a_{45}a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{45}^2 + a_{12}a_{13}a_{45} + ((2a_{16}a_{23} - a_{12}a_{14})a_{35} + (-a_{13}a_{15}a_{24} + a_{14}a_{15}a_{23}))))c_5 + \\
& ((a_{13}a_{45} + (-a_{12}a_{35} + (-a_{15}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{14})a_{35}))c_6 + ((-a_{14}a_{35}a_{56} + (-a_{16}a_{35}a_{45} + (-a_{12}a_{13}a_{35} - a_{13}a_{15}a_{23}))c_7 + (a_{35}a_{56} + \\
& (-a_{15}a_{45} - a_{23}^2)c_8))))c_2^2 + (((-a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{16}a_{45} + 2a_{15}a_{16}a_{24}))c_2^2 + ((-2a_{12}a_{13}a_{56} + (-2a_{12}a_{16}a_{35} - \\
& 2a_{15}a_{16}a_{23}))c_4 + ((a_{24}a_{56}^2 + (a_{14}a_{46} - a_{12}a_{23})a_{56} + (-a_{15}a_{46}^2 + (a_{16}a_{45} + a_{12}a_{13})a_{46} + (-a_{12}^2a_{45} + ((-a_{13}a_{16} - a_{12}a_{15})a_{24} + \\
& a_{14}a_{16}a_{23}))))c_5 + (((a_{35}a_{46} + (a_{14}a_{45} + a_{13}a_{23}))a_{56} + ((-a_{15}a_{45} + a_{13}^2)a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{45} - a_{12}a_{13}a_{45} + (a_{16}a_{23}a_{35} + (-2a_{13}a_{15}a_{24} + \\
& a_{14}a_{15}a_{23}))))c_6 + ((-a_{15}a_{24}a_{56} + (-a_{16}a_{35}a_{46} + (a_{12}^2a_{35} + (-a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{23}))c_7 + (-a_{15}a_{46} + (-a_{16}a_{45} + 2a_{12}a_{13})c_8))))c_3 + \\
& ((-a_{13}a_{56}^2 + (-a_{16}a_{35} - a_{14}a_{15})a_{56} + (a_{15}^2a_{46} - a_{15}a_{16}a_{45}))c_2^2 + ((-a_{23}a_{56}^2 + (a_{13}a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{45} + a_{14}^2))c_8))c_5 + ((-a_{16}a_{35} - \\
& a_{14}a_{15})a_{46} + (a_{14}a_{16}a_{45} + (a_{12}^2a_{35} + (a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{23}))))c_5 + ((-2a_{13}a_{45}a_{56} + (2a_{15}a_{35}a_{46} - 2a_{16}a_{35}a_{45}))c_6 + (((a_{15}a_{23} - \\
& a_{13}a_{14})a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{15}a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{15}a_{45} + (-a_{14}a_{16}a_{35} + a_{15}^2a_{24}))))c_7 + (3a_{13}a_{56} + (3a_{16}a_{35} + a_{14}a_{15}))c_8))c_4 + (((a_{23}a_{46} + a_{14}a_{24})a_{56} + \\
& ((a_{12}a_{45} - a_{15}a_{24})a_{46} + (2a_{16}a_{24}a_{45} + (a_{12}a_{13}a_{24} + (a_{16}a_{23} - a_{12}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_5^2 + (((-a_{23}a_{45} + a_{24}a_{35})a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{45}a_{46} + \\
& (-a_{12}a_{45}^2 - a_{15}a_{24}a_{45} + (-a_{12}a_{23}a_{35} + (a_{13}a_{24} + (-a_{15}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_6 + ((-a_{13}a_{24}a_{56} + ((-a_{12}a_{35} - a_{15}a_{23})a_{46} + \\
& (a_{16}a_{23} - a_{12}a_{14})a_{45} + (-3a_{16}a_{24}a_{35} - a_{14}a_{15}a_{24}))))c_7 + (2a_{35}a_{56} + (-2a_{13}a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{45} + (-a_{15}a_{24} - a_{14}^2))c_8))c_5 + \\
& ((a_{35}a_{46} + (-a_{13}a_{45}^2 + a_{14}a_{35}a_{45}))c_6^2 + ((a_{23}a_{35}a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{35}a_{46} + ((a_{12}a_{35} - a_{13}a_{14})a_{45} + (a_{15}a_{24} + a_{14}^2a_{35})))c_7 + (3a_{13}a_{45} - \\
& 2a_{14}a_{35})c_8)c_6 + (((-a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14})a_{35} + a_{13}a_{15}a_{24})c_7^2 + (-a_{12}a_{35} + (-a_{15}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{14}))c_8c_7 - 2a_{13}c_8^2))))c_2 + ((a_{12}a_{16}a_{46} + \\
& a_{16}^2a_{24})c_3^3 + ((a_{12}a_{56} - a_{16}^2a_{23})c_4 + ((-a_{12}a_{46} - a_{12}a_{16}a_{24})c_5 + ((a_{24}a_{56}^2 + (a_{14}a_{46} - a_{12}a_{23})a_{56} + (-a_{15}a_{46}^2 + (a_{16}a_{45} - \\
& a_{12}a_{13})a_{46} + (-2a_{13}a_{16}a_{24} + a_{14}a_{16}a_{23}))c_6 + ((-a_{16}a_{24}a_{56} + a_{12}a_{16}a_{23})c_7 + (-a_{16}a_{46} - a_{12}^2)c_8))))c_3^2 + ((a_{12}a_{56}^2 - a_{14}a_{16}a_{56} + \\
& (a_{15}a_{16}a_{46} - a_{16}^2a_{45}))c_4^2 + ((-2a_{12}a_{46} - 2a_{16}a_{24})a_{56}c_5 + ((-a_{23}a_{56}^2 + (-a_{13}a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{45} + (2a_{15}a_{24} + a_{14}^2))a_{56} + ((-a_{16}a_{35} - \\
& a_{14}a_{15})a_{46} + (a_{14}a_{16}a_{45} + (a_{12}^2a_{35} + (a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{23}))))c_6 + (((a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14})a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{16}a_{46} + (a_{12}a_{16}a_{45} + a_{15}a_{16}a_{24}))))c_7 + \\
& (-3a_{12}a_{56} + a_{14}a_{16})c_8))c_4 + ((a_{12}a_{46}^2 + a_{16}a_{24}a_{46})c_5^2 + (((a_{23}a_{46} + a_{14}a_{24})a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{45} - 2a_{15}a_{24})a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{24}a_{45} + \\
& (a_{12}a_{13}a_{24} + (a_{16}a_{23}^2 - a_{12}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_6 + ((-a_{12}a_{14}a_{46} - a_{14}a_{16}a_{24})c_7 + (3a_{12}a_{46} + 3a_{16}a_{24})c_8))c_5 + (((-a_{23}a_{45} + 2a_{24}a_{35})a_{56} + \\
& ((-a_{13}a_{45} + a_{14}a_{35})a_{46} + (-a_{12}a_{23}a_{35} + (a_{13}a_{24} + (-a_{15}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{14}a_{23}))))c_6^2 + ((-a_{13}a_{24}a_{56} + ((-a_{12}a_{35} - (a_{15}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{14}))a_{46} + \\
& (a_{16}a_{23}a_{45} - 3a_{16}a_{24}a_{35}))c_7 + (2a_{35}a_{56} + (a_{13}a_{46} + (-2a_{12}a_{45} + (-4a_{15}a_{24} - a_{14}^2))c_8))c_6 + (a_{13}a_{16}a_{24}c_7^2 - (a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14})c_8c_7 + \\
& 2a_{12}c_8^2))))c_3 + (((a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{56} + a_{16}^2a_{35})c_4^3 + (((a_{16}a_{23} - a_{12}a_{14})a_{56} + ((-a_{13}a_{16} - a_{12}a_{15})a_{46} - a_{15}a_{16}a_{24}))c_5 + (((a_{12}a_{35} - \\
& (a_{15}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{14}))a_{56} + ((a_{13}a_{16} + a_{12}a_{15})a_{45} + (-2a_{14}a_{16}a_{35} + a_{15}^2a_{24}))c_6 + ((a_{14}a_{56}^2 + (a_{16}a_{45} - a_{15}a_{46})a_{56} - a_{12}a_{16}a_{35})c_7 + \\
& (-a_{26}^2 + (-a_{13}a_{16} - a_{12}a_{15})c_8))c_4^2 + ((-a_{12}a_{24}a_{56} + ((-a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14})a_{46} + a_{14}a_{16}a_{24}))c_5^2 + ((-a_{13}a_{24}a_{56} + ((-a_{12}a_{35} + \\
& (a_{15}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{14}))a_{46} + ((a_{16}a_{23} - a_{12}a_{14})a_{45} + (-3a_{16}a_{24}a_{35} - a_{14}a_{15}a_{24}))))c_6 + ((a_{24}a_{56}^2 - a_{14}a_{46}a_{56} + (a_{15}a_{46}^2 - a_{16}a_{45}a_{46} - \\
& a_{13}a_{16}a_{24}))c_7 + (2a_{46}a_{56} + (-a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14}))c_8))c_5 + (((-a_{23}a_{35}a_{56} + ((a_{12}a_{35} - (a_{15}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{14}))a_{45} + (2a_{15}a_{24} + a_{14}^2a_{35}))c_6^2 + \\
& (((-a_{35}a_{46} + a_{14}a_{45})a_{56} + (-a_{15}a_{45}a_{46} + (a_{16}a_{45}^2 + ((a_{16}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{14})a_{35} + a_{13}a_{15}a_{24}))))c_7 + (-2a_{45}a_{56} + (-a_{12}a_{35} + (a_{15}a_{23} + \\
& a_{13}a_{14}))c_8)c_6 + ((-a_{15}a_{24}a_{56} + a_{16}a_{35}a_{46})c_7^2 + (-2a_{14}a_{56} + (a_{15}a_{46} - a_{16}a_{45}))c_8c_7 + 2a_{56}c_8^2))c_4 + ((a_{12}a_{24}a_{46} + a_{16}a_{24}^2)c_5^3 + \\
& ((a_{13}a_{24}a_{46} - (a_{12}a_{24}a_{45} + a_{15}a_{24}^2))c_6 - ((a_{24}a_{46}a_{56} + a_{16}a_{23}a_{24})c_7 + (a_{12}a_{24} - a_{16}^2)c_8))c_5^2 + ((a_{23}a_{35}a_{46} + (-a_{13}a_{24}a_{45} + \\
& a_{14}a_{24}a_{35}))c_6^2 + ((a_{24}a_{45}a_{56} + (a_{35}a_{46}^2 + (a_{12}a_{24}a_{35} + a_{15}a_{23}a_{24}))c_7 + (2a_{45}a_{46} + a_{13}a_{24})c_8)c_6 + ((a_{15}a_{24}a_{46} - a_{16}a_{24}a_{45})c_7^2 + \\
& (-a_{24}a_{56} + a_{14}a_{46})c_8c_7 - 2a_{46}c_8^2))c_5 + (((-a_{23}a_{35}a_{45} + a_{24}a_{35}^2)c_6 + ((-a_{35}a_{45}a_{46} + (a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23})a_{35})c_7 + (-a_{45}^2 + \\
& a_{23}a_{35})c_8)c_6^2 + ((-a_{24}a_{35}a_{56} - a_{14}a_{35}a_{46})c_7^2 + (a_{35}a_{46} - a_{14}a_{45})c_8c_7 + 2a_{45}c_8^2)c_6 + (a_{16}a_{24}a_{35}c_7^2 + a_{15}a_{24}c_8c_7^2 + a_{14}c_8^2c_7 - c_8^3))))))
\end{aligned}$$